

Index to Byway and Bridleway & Byway & Bridleway Extra

Updated to issue 2012/2. Please notify errors & omissions.

Where the date format is, e.g., 07/5/61, that indicates the item is in an issue of Byway and Bridleway (2007, issue 5, page 61). Where the date format is, e.g. 2 June 2003, that indicates that the item is in an issue of Byway & Bridleway Extra, with that issue date.

Subject	Issues	Title	B&B
Accommodation roads	Inspector's view on	Decisions in brief	10/1/8
Administrative remedies see also ombudsman, & natural justice	The ombudsman, etc	On administrative remedies	85/2/8
Adverse possession of highway.	Adverse possession - claim of	<i>Smith v. Land Registry</i> [2009]	09/3/33
Alignment of routes	Correcting a definitive line Clean Neighbourhoods & Environment Act 2005	An abandoned towpath	07/2/22
Alleygating		Clean Neighbourhoods & Environment Act 2005 No sign of signs	05/7/59 05/8/71
'Amenity' improvements to roads	'Amenity' reason to keep encroachment	Tubs and shrubs ..	04/8/68
Badgers	Badgers, paths and conservation	Badgers and access:	95/10/62
Balance of user		Byway or Carriageway	16 Aug 05
Best Value	Investigation into a RoW department	Best Value hits Bradford	01/4/22
BOATs	Across gardens	Up the garden path	11/3/72
	Added by user evidence, post-NERCA	An alternative link	30 May 09
	Awarded road - can it be a BOAT?	Crook O'Moor Road	06/6/67
	Awarded "Public Road"	Not a BOAT	06/12/26
	Balance of user test	A helping hand	96/4/26
		And stung again	99/8/60
		Badly stung	99/8/60
		Credible but not conclusive	00/3/18
		No BOAT for Rattery	09/6/72
		<i>R v. Wiltshire County Council Ex p Nettlecombe Ltd.</i>	98/3/22
	BOAT classification did not exist before 1968	A BOAT too soon	08/3/32
	Deemed dedication not Nettlecombed	Oh yes it is!	00/2/12
	Delay in process - 16 years	Twelve weeks?	08/5/51
	Evidence of maintenance taken for status	Evidence of maintenance	99/6/42
	Highway authority looks for excuses	No BOATs at any price?	99/6/41
		No BOATs at any price #2	99/8/61
	Inspector's mistake as to user evidence	Some mistake, surely?	95/1/4
	Magistrates' order defeats BOAT order	The BOAT that never was	08/5/60
		Inspector finds BOAT because of Parish maintenance	1 May 01
	Maintenance	A justified complaint	95/4/18
	Mastiles Lane DMMO	A freak climb in the fells	10/1/7
	NERCA 2006 in action	A 210-year-old public carriageway	11/2/47
		A lost BOAT	10/2/26
		A private street	09/6/74
		A qualifying application	10/11/186
		An anomaly rectified	10/3/48
		An exceptional exception	09/4/53
		Another BOAT is sunk	11/2/46
		Another problem of listing	10/4/69
		Another cul-de-sac BOAT	08/1/8
		Blackbirds Lane	10/3/49
		BOAT application leads to 2 cul-de-sacs	06/12/26
		BOATs arise from bridleway orders due to NERC exemptions : defra advice held wrong.	07/4/41
		Bridleway application leads to RB	06/12/26
		Cam High Road	11/8/124
		Decisions in brief	08/5/64
			10/3/52
		Elucidating the exceptions	10/3/42
		Exemption still not engaged	2 Feb 2009
		Exception now irrelevant	2 Feb 2009

	Exceptional circumstances	2 June 2008
	Invalidity confirmed	08/4/45
	On the list	10/10/173
	Losing the way?	10/10/172
	Main lawful use?	11/4/88
	More on non-compliant BOAT applications	10/4/70
	More restricted byways	10/3/50
	Not considered when adding BOAT	06/12/26
	Not on the list	11/5/98
	Notts applications still not compliant	10/7/130
	Restriction upheld	2 Feb 2009
	Restriction upheld	09/5/63
	Second thoughts on extinguishment	11/7/116
	Silly Dale & Foolow Road	2 Feb 2009
	Still not acceptable	08/5/63
	Wiltshire Bridleway order fails & succeeds	16 May 07
	Wiltshire bridleway order revisited - NERC bites	07/4/41
	When is a list not a list?	10/3/43
Nettlecombe test applied	Confusion worse confounded	00/2/10
	<i>Jacques and Nettlecombe</i>	99/9/72
	More <i>Nettlecombe</i>	99/9/71
	User and BOAT status	99/2/9
Nettlecombe test misapplied?	Bishop speaks, ex cathedra?	99/5/31
Nettlecombe dead for RUPPs	<i>Masters v. SoS for DETR</i> July 2000	00/8/56
Nettlecombe test restrained	<i>Buckland v. SoS for DETR</i> [2000]	00/6/44
Obstructed route - Order made for BOAT	<i>Post Masters</i>	01/10/47
Order not confirmed because vehicular user is too great	Technical hitches	01/3/17
Ploughing & cropping of BOAT	The Road to Flodden Field	11/8/120
Position of route on order not accurate enough	American Road	10/6/110
Refusal to make DMMO on spurious grounds in Kent	Beyond our Kent	01/3/15
	Christmas boneshaker	01/2/10
	Bridleway Order confirmed as BOAT on the evidence	4 Mar 06
Unusual sort of road	On the trail	05/3/15
User evidence in bridleway DMMO shows BOAT status	User friendly	94/7/27
	Welsh BOATs	05/3/13
Width of gates too narrow for vehicles	A narrow conclusion	01/4/23
<i>Winchester</i> case applied	Decisions in brief	08/5/64
	Gad, Sir!	11/12/180
	Not fully compliant	10/5/88
	The letter of the law	09/4/54
	<i>Winchester</i> rules	10/2/24
Bridges and crossings	Bridge safety	03/1/2
	A safer crossing	02/9/49
	Walking on a wire	05/4/27
Bridleways	Private carriage road & public bridleway but Order not confirmed	06/12/26
	Bridleways: the barometer....	97/2/9
	Drinks all round!	98/10/68
	Adding bridleway - <i>Trevelyan</i> doesn't count	8 Mar 03
	Playing the gridiron	99/3/14
	The only boy scout in step?	00/6/39
	<i>Kind v. Northumberland CC</i> [2012] EWHC 603.	12/2/31
	Prohibited user	00/10/71
Claim over footpath rail crossing fails	A rider's eye view	95/9/54
Conditions in Wiltshire	Nobody's baby?	00/2/15
Conditions in Yorkshire	Journey for Access 2006	07/2/16
Condition of	Dead end at Guisborough	10/2/27
Dead end is outcome of PI	Review	11/10/152
Dedication by landowners	Footpath signs...	99/10/84
Dedication where footpath signs		17 Oct 03
Downgrade to footpath	Pack & Prime - Drift & Drove	99/5/36
Drove routes - coexisting?	No change?	99/9/69
Electric fence alongside		1 May 01
Extinguished after adding	Iver good idea	04/10/79
Extinguishment by land-locking		

	Fords and widths of Hard surfaces on bridleways	An holistic approach Hard top - hard luck? Slip-slidin' away	10/10/175 99/2/12 99/3/13
	Headland paths - ploughing Health hazard? Hedge removal undermines user evidence Highway Code. No ref'n to cyclists giving way Holding bays provided 'Improving' surfaces Leading Question Long distance routes	Feeding the hand that bites you? Horses - a health hazard? A hedge too far Bridleways are not roads: official Decisions in brief More on 'improving' bridleways A bridleway from leading horses Growth of a... network The case for - in Wales The Swan's Way	99/3/20 07/4/40 11/2/47 11/4/81 09/6/75 00/4/27 1 May 01 90/10/39 00/4/28 87/2/17
	Motor use of Objection to bridleway upgrade by walkers Obstructed RUPP and cul-de-sac become bridleway Private use evidence defeats public way assertion Rights established via led horses Safety as a criterion in creation order Stiles as obstructions Surfacing for cycles and motors Urban riding in London User evidence to prove	Decisions in brief A virtual bridleway Carriers Way Safety first Clear enough? Black tops on bridleways Celebrating urban riding ... Wharfedale bridleways Evidential variety User evidence On the verge An unwarranted limitation	30 Jun 04 2 June 08 01/10/48 10/6/110 1 May 01 95/4/18 98/10/68 99/6/45 03/3/21 03/7/48 03/9/64 1 May 04 94/7/25 01/5/28
Bringing into question	Bringing into question	Bringing a challenge home Bring into question Bringing bridle rights into question Called into question Decisions in brief F&MD BiQ QED More on bringing into question Objection as a challenge? Questionable guidance? Question time, again. Test A or test B? When you're in a hole ...	04/10/83 9 May 01 05/6/51 11/7/115 3 Sep 06 11/14/214 04/10/84 1 May 01 03/8/58 03/8/59 03/9/66 04/1/4
Bylaws Cart roads - extent	Basic rules and applications Mileage of cart roads in each county 1816	Bylaws 55 Geo. III, cap. 47 Return ticket Carriage driving in ... Gone to see Cessor order Mmmm... we'd forgotten that Colin Seymour opines	87/8/32 99/10/82 00/2/16 06/5/60 09/6/66 88/9/33 00/5/31 3 Jun 01
Carriage driving Cattle grid Cessor order	Post-NERC use in the Yorkshire Dales In bridleway Use of Revocation of?	Calling into Question	
Challenge Churchyards / churchways	Dedication in	Consecration & dedication Dedication v. consecration More on consecration Play it long ... Trevelyan allows deletion Circular 1/08 launched - faulty	08/1/7 16 May 07 08/6/71 04/8/62 06/12/26 08/3/26
Circulars & guidance	Countryside Code DoE Circular 2/93 Going in circles Limitations and dedication: error in guidance? Orders. Form of 'parts' Recording, protecting, modifying, etc Sport & recreation in planning PPG 17	Limited dedication Recording a negative DoE Circ 2/93; WO Circ 5/93	11/6/104 11/6/105 93/3/9 92/2/8
Codes of conduct	Why circulars 'bind' Inspectors Cycling in the countryside advice Gearing Up Passing protocols Cycling in the Chilterns Cycling in the Lake District	Stand inside my shoes Not like this, either And yet more codes	11/4/79 97/6/33 97/8/56 96/9/51 96/9/51

		Surveys and statements	11/14/212
		Unreasonable behaviour	03/7/47
		Unreasonable - but no costs	03/10/71
		Unreasonable, but no costs	04/7/55
		Unreasonable? Up to a point	08/4/44
		Unwise - but not unreasonable	11/4/92
		Watching brief	10/2/31
	In s.56 cases	<i>Riggall v. Hereford CC</i> 1972	99/8/65
	In written representation cases	Planning rules not to be extended to RoW orders	09/1/3
	Litigants in person - rules changed	<i>North Yorkshire County Council v. Kind</i> , 14th November 2000	02/1/4
Countryside Agency [Commission]	Condition survey of rights of way and new management proposals	Report on Condition Survey and Consultation Paper	96/10/64
	Greenways	Right way? Wrong way? Greenway	98/2/14
	National trails	Conference report 2002	02/5/23
	Radical proposals for changes to ROW law	Future shock?	98/7/47
	Recreational use report	The Access Study	87/4/13
	Review of policy document	A Living Countryside	96/5/32
	Tranquility mapping	Be calm ..	05/6/45
	Use of country roads	Roads in the Countryside	95/8/42
Countryside & Rights of Way Act 2000 - implementation	Funding in Wales CRoW	A world of their own? DETR Circular 04/2001	01/9/42 20 Apr 01
Countryside Rights Association		Green ink provos	99/7/49
Criminal Justice Act	Travellers camping on minor highways The CJA and minor highways	Out on a bender	95/4/19 95/2/8
Creation agreements	Condition agreements and diversions Parish council powers under s.30 HA1980	<i>R oao Hertfordshire County Council v. SoS</i> [2006] Local paths for local people?	07/1/11 11/12/177
Creation of highways Creation orders	Law as to - summary Agreements for in rationalisation scheme	<i>Sinclair v. Kearsley</i> [2010] EWCA Civ 112 The road to hell ...	11/1/3 00/6/41
	Compensation for Creation order does not block DMMO Bridleway affected by vehicles	<i>Rotherwick's Executors v. Oxfordshire County Council</i> , Estates Gazette, 15th July 2000 A tale of two councils Tyneside bridleway creations Decisions in brief Across the Nidd	00/9/62 11/14/210 9 July 06 11/2/50 11/5/97
	Bridleway considerably shortened by inspector Bridleway substituted by Inspector Bridleway over existing footpath Bridleway to replace unclassified road	Tyneside bridleway creations More than was asked for	9 July 06 03/6/40 21 Apr 04 8 Mar 03
	Cannot extinguish existing path by creation Competing route options Compulsory purchase order for	Back to <i>Bernstein</i> A missing link A pragmatic solution? Human rights - but whose?	10/6/95 04/8/66 99/10/76 00/2/10
	Consultation - a requirement Criteria for	s. 26 HA 80 An acknowledged need Creating paths	16 Aug 05 96/8/47 84/5/20
	Defeats 'similar' DMMO to add a path Environmental objections to cycle route Safety as criterion South Downs Way Specifying suitable widths, lengths, etc, is essential.	Under the carpet! On the Spa Trail Safety creation Out of time	12/1/25 11/9/144 04/2/23 16 March 08
Crime	Crime & Extinguishment	<i>R oao MJI Farming v. SoS</i> 2009	10/2/15
Cross compliance	Single Payment Scheme 2005 onwards	Crime v anti-social behaviour <i>Horvath v. SoS for EFRA</i> [2009]. That's visibly clear now!	06/12/26 09/3/34 05/2/39
Crown land	NCB not a 'government department'	Cross compliance & visible RoW Crumbling crown 'Crown land' in Consistency Guidelines	05/7/60 11/6/104 10/11/181
	Coal Board land Dedication over Denial of ownership of sub-soil	The Crown and the Coal Board Crown land dedication Cornish lanes	11/4/83 98/8/53 05/8/73

	Leased land	Uneasy lies the crown #2	11/11/168
	Meaning of 'government department'	Uneasy lies the crown	11/11/10
	User evidence over	Crown immunity	96/8/48
Cycles	User evidence over - and railway land	A bridge too far for Railtrack	95/4/19
	Bells and passing other users	Ringing in the new	95/10/57
		Passing protocols	97/8/56
	Bridleways	Surfacing for cyclists	23 Apr 04
	Bylaw ban	Cyclists flex their muscles...Dartmoor	98/9/60
	Cycle tracks	Fast track for cycle orders	02/1/2
		Imposed on a footpath	1 May 01
		Monkey in the spokes	98/8/52
		Shopping list	98/7/44
	Dangerous cycling	Divine intervention	92/4/16
	Defined in law	Bicycles as carriages	90/6/22
	Development of mountain bikes	Mountain biking - in middle age?	06/3/33
		On your bike to the mountains	85/2/7
		On your bike - six years on	91/6/23
	Forest Enterprise rules	On your bike	90/4/15
	History of	Tightening up	97/2/6
	Images	Some pen & ink drawings	1 Jul 04
	Mass events on rights of way	MTB 'marathons'	09/6/70
		Who's in charge?	96/9/57
	Media attitudes - bad images	Deeper in the mire	98/3/20
		Full circle?	97/10/64
	Mountain bikes excluded from proposed permissive bridleway	Warcop wake-up!	01/4/21
		Tangled up in khaki?	07/4/37
	Mountain bikes on Pennine Bridleway - events, and injury to a walker	Widths, walkers and wildmen	04/3/33
	National park wants to ban	Exmoor NP opposes ATBs	93/8/29
	On Bridleways	Highway authority's liability	20 Apr 04
	On canal towpaths	Cycling the canals	96/7/42
	On pedestrian facilities	Pedalling back	95/6/29
		Crank v. Brooks	95/4/19
	On RUPPs (user evidence)	Can a user of a public footpath be a trespasser?	12/1/11
	Prohibition on byways	Bicycles on RUPPs	95/6/30
	Racing on bridleways	Questionable parentage	97/3/14
		Cycle racing on bridleway -BBT view	97/2/8
		Racing ahead	96/7/40
	Traffic regulation of on roads	No scorchers!	11/9/132
	User evidence by cyclists	An area of uncertainty	06/4/39
		Legal wrinkles	02/4/15
		On the square	12/1/23
		Rosedale update	00/8/51
		Shopping list	98/7/44
		You just couldn't make it up!	02/7/33
		Whitworth v. SoS [2010]EWCA Civ 1468	11/1/7
		Whitworth closed & bolted	11/4/79
	Voluntary restraint	Climb Snowdon ...	04/7/53
Culs-de-sac	Byways confirmed	An ancient public road ...	05/7/62
		Cul de sac byways	00/8/53
		BOATs (2 reports)	16 Aug 05
	Byways not confirmed	Wait for it	14 Mar 08
	Common - place of public resort	Bridleway confirmed (page4)	06/12/26
	Irrational decision?	Byway pros and cons	01/5/28
		Footpath created (dead end)	8 Mar 03
	Leading to crown land	A restricted cul-de-sac	07/2/21
	Leading to permissive route	Decisions in brief	06/4/42
	Logic denied?	Culs de sac considered	00/6/39
	Need for a public terminus	A dead end	99/1/3
		Highway disconnection	11/14/215
		One council, two roles	11/14/211
	NERC	BOAT DMMO lead to 2 cul-de-sacs	06/12/26
		A place of public resort	06/6/65
	No need for a public terminus?	There and back again	07/1/10
	Presumptions of through-routes?	A through-route or dead-end street	90/5/20
		Eyre power	03/7/47
		The road goes on	99/6/47
		Valuable principles	99/7/53
	Presumption of status from	No riding on dead end	97/5/28
	Unknown reason for dead end	A cul-de-sac bridleway	05/7/64

	User evidence to dead ends	No way through a Welsh wood	05/5/37
Damage	Forestry operations wreck old turnpike	He's a lumberjack...	00/2/16
Decision letters	Quality of Reasoning in decisions	Decisions in brief In the corner?	11/1/26 08/6/67
Declaratory judgements	How to use and locus standi Cannot happen on a route that is already a public right.	Using declaratory judgements	96/10/61
Dedication	Acceptance by highway authority on behalf of public	Curate's egg?	07/5/54
Dedication at common law	Actions of tenants Capacity to dedicate	<i>SoS for DoE v. Baylis</i> , The Times, 16th May 2000 Owner and tenant A charitable objection Charity owned land Covert facts?	00/9/62 06/3/29 05/3/13 9 May 01 99/6/40
	Confusion in Inspectors' minds? Express dedication by landowner defeats later owners Fiction of dedication Tolerance is not intention to dedicate	Express dedication Fictitious dedication An act of charity	98/10/69 06/5/52 99/8/59
Definitive Map	Base mapping altered as time passes Challenge to validity of original process Correcting long-standing error	Indefinitely definitive <i>R (Folder) v. SoS for ETR</i> (2003) A long-standing error A catalogue of errors	09/6/69 04/5/48 03/7/49 16 March 08
	Councillors with an interest Council ignores evidence of higher rights Delay in determining orders and appeals	Declaring an interest A piscatorial process But this is OK? Long wait & Why wait? Low priority - no authority? Modification malaise What a dreadful delay! Worth the wait?	89/9/36 98/2/11 01/2/14 98/2/12 01/3/16 01/2/12 01/3/14 01/3/16 99/4/22
	Duty to investigate claims properly Guide to for newcomers	Duty to investigate	91/1/4 91/2/8
	Part 1 Part 2 Part 3 Part 4 Part 5		91/3/12 91/4/16 91/5/20
	Human rights issues in DMMOs Isle of Man, in the Length of case in Cumbria Limitations Parliamentary ombudsman on delays Purpose of	Human rights and DMMOs Another country Christmas bonus	01/1/2 10/4/74 01/2/9 16 Nov 01 95/9/56 00/2/11
	Secrecy and copyright Tidying up by DMMO Limitations	Are definitive maps more elusive than official secrets? Not appropriate Ship ahoy!	01/3/19 97/5/27 06/2/17
Definitive statement	Map v. statement when in dispute	<i>Ernstbrunner v. Manchester City Council</i> 2009 Map v. statement Decisions in brief Delegated responsibility	10/2/13 05/5/34 9 July 06 01/1/3
Delegated powers Deletion/ downgrading	Local Ombudsman report A very bad case Assertions of 'never used' carry little weight BOAT downgrade fails on basic tests Bridleway to be deleted - fails	Wood Lane A case in point Decisions in brief Another NERCA nasty Crossing Muddy Pool Marrick mix-up Errors on trial Look who's back! Not a pack horse route The way to the sands YDNPA declares independence!	86/5/17 07/5/58 12/1/27 11/14/213 10/7/131 11/6/107 07/3/35 00/9/59 08/2/24 96/3/21 99/6/41
	Bridleway to be downgraded to FP. Bridleway to FP - cogency of proof	An unusable bridleway Jinking round the privy Lack of cogency No joy for bridle rights Not new, not substantial, not cogent Only new will do Presumption of correctness Red faces in Redruth	10/3/47 97/2/11 09/5/63 06/1/16 10/4/72 95/5/24 11/9/146 95/9/52

		Same again, but more so	11/14/210
		Status quo - or nearly so	95/9/53
	Burdens and presumptions	An 'initial presumption' overturned	05/7/64
		<i>Simms & Burrows</i> in practice	95/7/37
		<i>Burrows</i>	89/8/32
		<i>Rubenstein</i> considered	88/2/8
		Modification on request	99/7/53
	Dedication defeats downgrading order		
	Drafting error can be 'discovery of evidence'.	<i>Kotarski v. SoS</i> [2010] EWHC 1036 (Admin)	11/1/4
	Expediency is not enough grounds	Necessary but not expedient	99/7/53
	Footpath deleted	<i>Trevelyan</i> over DoE Circular	06/12/26
	Footpath obstructed in 1901	Not cogent enough	04/8/67
	Interference by landowner on site visit	Brief encounter	95/9/51
	<i>Kent CoCo</i> case - court imposes limit	Limit on deletions	95/1/3
	Original definitive map process cannot be reopened		
	Tests to be applied	Deletions guidance issued	08/2/13
		Alternative burdens	03/10/75
	 Further thoughts	08/3/28
		High hurdles	09/3/37
		Losing the plot?	09/3/38
		Routes of appeal	04/1/3
		How dare you, Sir!	96/7/41
	Designations of land	Tranquil thoughts	04/5/45
	DETR/defra/DTLR	Conflicting guidance on highway status?	99/5/31
		Delays	97/5/25
		Government Office for Region - approach	98/1/2
		I have seen the future...	98/2/12
		Guidance notes	97/6/34
		How it works	90/3/11
		The work of the Countryside Div.	97/4/22
		The work of the Rural Affairs Dir.	99/8/63
		Final consultation - July 99	
	Legislative proposals		
	Planning Inspectorate: House of Commons		
	Environment C'ttee report 1999-2000	Could do better	00/8/50
	Development	Affecting rights of way	82/7/28
		Bypass - built over bridleway	03/9/65
		Good practice for planners and developers	99/3/18
		Part-completion and confirmation of orders	03/10/76
	Digital Mapping		
	GPS	Reconciling maps, new & old	16 Nov 03
	Disabled access	Disabled access	99/1/3
		CoAg guide published	06/1/2
	Diversion	Common sense comes unstuck	95/10/60
		Affecting rights of nearby property?	95/5/24
		Bagshot bagged?	11/4/82
		Watching brief	11/13/198
		Alternative route not good enough	03/4/29
		Ancient status/character defeats diversion	04/5/47
		Badgers in path	10/4/71
		Considerations of expediency	
		<i>R (Connaughton) v. West Dorset District Council</i>	
		(2002)	04/7/60
	'Breaking' the route at road crossings	Inconvenient crossings	10/1/6
	Bridge (missing) is a temporary circumstance	Inappropriate diversions	06/4/41
	Bridleway - alternative is unsuitable	Inconvenient crossings	10/1/6
	Bridleway ford issues	A needless decision?	98/9/62
	Bridleway on to road	Decisions in brief	10/3/54
		Showing an interest	96/4/26
	Burden of proof	<i>Bernstein</i> revisited	07/1/6
	Can divert on to existing path	Benefit of the doubt	07/3/33
	Can divert on to a claimed path	<i>R v. Lake District SPB ex p. Bernstein</i> , 29th January	
		1982	01/3/20
	Cannot divert on to existing path	Back to <i>Bernstein</i>	10/6/95
		<i>Bernstein</i> considered	10/1/5
		Beds takes the wrong route	07/3/33
	Cannot extinguish rights with a s.119		
	Challenging diversion by challenging underlying definitive map	<i>Doherty v. SoS & Bedfordshire CoCo</i> [2005]	06/5/57
	Change of circumstances changes outcome	Second time lucky	11/7/114
	Conditional on DMMO, must wait for DMMO to be confirmed.		
	Conservation reasons	Thundridge and enlightening	12/1/19
	Conflicting Inspectors' criteria	Inappropriate diversions	06/4/41
		When I get to the border	98/4/28

'Convenience' - meaning of	Enjoyment and convenience	02/10/57
Costs not a valid criterion	Under pressure	92/1/1
Council able to shelve objected order	<i>R (Hargrave) v. Stroud District Council</i> [2002]	02/6/29
Council departments oppose each other	A house divided	99/2/8
Creation & extinguishment not valid	Smoutel's saga	11/10/157
	No grounds for re-creation	11/10/162
Creation is not diversion	Diversion by creation	09/3/29
	Out of order	00/6/42
	The wrong way	09/6/73
Creation agreement linking- limitations	Agreements not accountable	04/9/73
Criteria: between different acts	Divertingly different	03/9/64
Cross field can be better	A reasonable proportion	98/9/63
	The wrong package	97/5/28
Crossfield to headland - public interests	Broad approval	98/1/4
	Degrees of inconvenience	99/5/34
	Not so obvious	98/5/32
	Walk with the animals	99/2/8
Danger of route	Dangerous corner	07/3/32
	The wrong approach	97/5/27
Disability concerns dismissed	Diversion disputed	09/2/19
Dogs as reason to divert	Running dogs & round robins	98/1/5
Electric fence persuades	An acceptable limitation	00/6/43
'Enjoyment' - meaning of	Enjoyment and convenience	02/10/57
	Use and enjoy	04/9/73
Existing rights on new line	An end to order	97/5/27
Expediency and enjoyment criteria	An inexpedient package	03/7/48
	Considerations of expediency	10/4/71
	Decisions in brief	10 Nov. 2007
	Enjoyment	96/4/25
	Expediency ignored?	10/10/159
	Insufficient interest	98-7-41
	A link with the past	99/5/33
Extent, before it becomes extinguishment	Blaydon blip	02/8/46
	Not the way to do it	98/1/5
	Not extinguishment	1 May 01
Extinguishing 2 paths; creating just one	Decisions in brief	08/6/76
Failure to reinstate as factor	Temporary circumstances	98/3/18
Farm safety is a material factor	The safety factor	94/8/31
Fewer paths created to replace	Six to two on?	02/5/18
Footpath diverted solely in order to become a bridleway	Divert to create?	07/6/66
Future proofing the new route	An eye to the future	10/5/88
Higher rights over route. Diversion not confirmed	An inexpedient diversion	30 May 09
Higher rights alleged over route. Diversion confirmed	Decision in brief	10/8/152
History of route	Decisions in brief	10 Nov. 2007
	Historical associations	04/8/65
	An historic bridleway	12/2/35
Houses built over path	Open house	96/8/49
Horse use of FP as a reason against	Shared use	97/4/20
Human rights issue of path thro' pub	To the bar (as of right)	02/5/21
In the magistrates' court	Done and seen to be done	93/3/12
Increased distance on new route	Going too far	95/10/6
Interdependency defeats multi-path order	A package falls apart	05/6/49
Interests of public & landowner - joint.	Rogue mail?	08/5/52
Knowledge of path when buying property does not bat a later diversion.	Decisions in brief	12/2/38
Landowners' interests - dressing up as public interest	Decisions in brief	06/5/56
Landowners' interests - meaning of	Differing interests	04/9/74
Landowners' interests - remoteness	Remote interest	05/1/3
Linking extin. & cre. orders to divert	Another way round	97/5/28
Length of new route an issue	Specifying limitations	08/6/72
Maintenance - cannot put the burden on landowners	Maintenance problems	07/3/33
Modification of order renders incapable of confirmation	A Catch 22 order	07/2/20
Multiple termini discussed	Necessary questions	13 May 03
'Necessary' as a test		98/6/36

No discretion to refuse application for order	Limitation of powers	00/2/12
Objection as a 'bargaining tool'	A hard bargain	07/1/5
Obstructed route: view of equestrians	Better than nothing?	08/4/45
Obstructed route not necessarily worse	Basis of comparison	00/4/25
Obstructed route - order rejected	Inconvenient, unenjoyable ...	02/10/63
On the coming into effect of the Highway Act 1773 (s.19)	<i>Waite v. Smith (1799)</i>	04/1/7
On to already-used path	Conclusive unless shown otherwise	00/1/5
	Technical hitch	95/10/60
	The drawing board beckons	02/8/47
Order with objections need not be sent to SoS - council has discretion to abandon	<i>R (Hargrave) v. Stroud District Council, 7th December 2001</i>	02/1/4
Order quashed - notices not posted.	Tail end	99/5/31
Order refused on ground of owner objecting to order in his own favour	Technical hitches	01/3/17
Pre-existing target route?	Hitcham hitch	04/10/83
Privacy of garden	Decisions in brief	08/4/48
	Privacy & security	98/8/54
Private rights not diverted by order?	Deep-rooted rights	99/5/32
Private right benefit too remote	Diversion difficulties	03/4/29
Public enjoyment criteria	Field-edge limitations	96/10/62
	Riverside enjoyment	97/1/4
	The pleasure principle	98/3/19
	What did Horace say?	96/4/25
Public interest (and width issues)	A change of view	09/5/63
	A material factor	08/4/47
	Disputed diversions	07/4/44
	Expediency and enjoyment criteria	09/4/51
	Not much public interest	08/1/8
	Out of the ordinary	09/1/7
Public repair liability converted to private by diversion	A difference in approach	30 May 2009
Public perceptions of value	Law in action	96/6/36
Public safety as criterion	Limits to remit	98/6/36
Rail crossing	Longer path, shorter crossing	00/6/41
	Safer by road?	02/9/50
Rationalisation schemes	Grounds for extinguishment	02/10/62
	Shades of Ombersley	95/10/61
	Rat. in Toddington & Chalgrave	93/10/39
	The dangers of re-organisation...	93/2/8
Retaining existing right in s.116 diversion	Two-for-one offer ends	10/1/2
Revocation of order	Revocation and rejection	03/6/38
Safety criteria	A hazardous crossing	10/3/48
	A subjective balance	97/9/59
	Balance of risk	99/6/42
	Decisions in brief	09/3/38
	Decisions in brief	11/2/50
	Frightening the horses	02/3/12
	Safety catch	98/2/13
Section 119- procedures	S119 - is it fair?	92/5/20
Security criteria	An Englishman's home	94/9/28
	An inspector inspected	95/8/43
	Country houses at risk	97/7/44
	Don't cry for him	96/8/47
	Field-edge limitations	96/10/62
	More questions of safety	96/4/26
	Out of school	98/9/63
	Risk of crime	96/5/30
	Storm in a duckpond	00/3/18
	Whose privacy is it anyway?	95/9/51
Shorter route means loss of enjoyment	Applying the criteria	96/9/56
Survey of users	... and statistics	97/7/45
Surface condition as criterion	More than my job's worth	98/1/5
TCPA criteria	A narrow margin	97/9/58
	No development, no order	95/10/60
	Unnecessary & inapplicable	96/8/49
TCPA diversion - scope of consent	Inadequate permission	95/10/61
Technical fault in order	Technical hitch	97/2/11
TCPA - establishing a need to divert	A contrived closure	95/9/52

	TCPA - path not on def. map	Just in case	96/4/26
	Temporary circumstances affecting current route	Advice to Inspectors ...	07/6/66
	Termination points	Decisions in brief	2 June 08
	Uncertainty of status vitiates order	Salopian hiccups	94/8/31
	Valid criteria only	Wrong way at Whitnash	02/5/21
	Who may apply for an order?	0% interest	95/10/61
	Width of new route is important	Egregious error	99/6/39
		A commodious width	98/5/32
		Agricultural necessity	99/3/15
		As another fourteen years slip by	08/5/56
	Wrong provision used	A calendar of errors	04/7/54
Duties and powers	Of a highway authority	A duty or a power	91/10/40
		No duty to assert and protect?	81/8/32
		Write it big...	98/9/61
Drafting errors on DMS	Correction by order	Errors at the edges	08/2/23
Drove roads		A 'public drift road'.	10/3/44
		A 'public drift road' revisited	11/10/160
	Evidence from place names	Lost and Found ...	96/6/37
	Legal position	Pack & Prime - Drift & Drove	99/5/36
	The Hambleton Drove road	A northern ridgeway?	81/3/11
	Set out in inclosure award	Driftway rights	00/6/43
Economics of leisure routes		Cashing in on the RoW network #1	02/9/52
		Cashing in on the RoW network #2	02/10/58
		Cashing in on the RoW network #3	03/1/4
	'Improving Rights of Way in England & Wales' - defra report, 2000	'An Economic Appraisal of the Proposals' Recreational economics	B&B database 04/6/49
	Do the benefits of recreational routes outweigh disadvantages?	Pennine Bridleway update?	98/5/33
Electric fences	Held to be undesirable	Frightening the horses	07/3/34
Electrically assisted vehicles	EPV on highways bill	Souped-up Segways?	11/4/78
Environment C'tee	Impact/sustainability report	Impact of leisure activities	95/8/46
Environmental aspect of path dedication	EA tries to stop user evidence dedication	No environmental veto	02/2/8
Equestrian facilities	'Clustered' bridleways	Horse trods	05/4/30
	Local bridleways	Thinking small, by design	05/4/30
Erosion and loss of RoW	A right of way can move as land is lost	A riverside right retained	99/5/33
		Erosion diversion	01/6/31
		Maisemore revisited	05/6/50
		R v. Aldborough [1853]	04/3/28
Evidence	Admissibility at Pls	Same game, different rules	96/8/45
	Aggregation of evidence concludes DMMO	Consistent evidence	98/8/55
	Arbitration award as evidence	Arbitration upheld	95/10/61
	Balance of probabilities examined	A delicate balance	96/3/19
		Bad news, welcome views	95/10/60
		Fragile, strong and plain	00/1/5
	Balance of probabilities not discharged	An even balance	96/4/26
		Barrow 2: unfavourable balance	98/7/41
		End of a long Barrow story	02/5/21
	Balance of probabilities satisfied	Balancing act	99/9/71
		Compelling cumulation	00/4/25
		Sifting the evidence	96/3/19
		Piggy Lane restored	98/1/6
	Boundary lines refused as evidence	Bordering on the amazing	98/4/28
	Bridleways - presumed dedication	Proving bridleways -3	95/7/36
	Burrows v SoS	User evidence	1 May 04
	Capacity to dedicate	Obstacles to dedication	96/5/30
		Settlement and presumption	95/9/51
	Case law at inquiries	It's up to you!	99/1/2
	Circumstantial evidence in orders	A close-run thing	08/5/62
	Cogent DMMO evid. ignored by HA	Local colour	96/1/4
	Cogent proof needed to delete	Clear & cogent proof needed	97/8/51
	Common sense as evidence?	Common sense	90/9/34
		Common sense & logic	03/4/26
	Conclusive -meaning of	A reasonable inference	97/6/36

Copyright at inquiries	More honor'd in the breach than	97/4/19
Creation order as material consideration	An holistic approach	10/10/175
Cumulative evidence shows the picture	An evolutionary pattern	99/4/24
Discovery of new evidence	<i>R v. SoS for DoE ex p. Stewart</i>	79/2/8
	Discovery of evidence	97/4/20
	Nutley re-think	98/5/32
Evidence not available to the Inspector	Strategic omissions	04/2/21
Formal agreements - document needed	Section 327 agreements	97/6/38
High Court challenge to Inspector	Grim fairey tale	96/3/1
Highway board records in DMMO	Then and now	98/8/54
Historical use of the way	Imagining the past	97/2/10
	Highway usage	97/5/29
	A pious decision	97/8/51
Inspector's mistake as to user evidence	Some mistake, surely?	95/1/4
Intention not to dedicate	<i>Cotton & Cotton v. SoS and Surrey CoCo [1997]</i>	00/6/44
	Ignorance no excuse	02/6/30
	Intention not to dedicate	98/10/70
	Kentish challenges	01/3/18
	Negating intention to dedicate	00/3/19
	<i>R v. SoS for DETR ex p Dorset CoCo</i>	00/2/14
Legal memory - date of	What did they ever do for us?	01/5/26
	A Roman footpath	01/4/23
Magistrates' court finding rejected	An unconvincing conviction	04/2/21
Maintenance as evidence of public status	Rights and responsibilities	09/2/21
Maps provide evidence of reputation	An 'old road'	2 June 08
Minute Books	Minute Book Evidence	06/12/26
New evidence - Glatton PI #3	Glatton roundabout	96/6/36
New evidence at Pls	Critical analysis	96/1/5
	Occupation roads and seconds Pls	96/10/62
New evidence - Essex	About turn	91/7/25
Period of use long ago	An unsafe foundation	96/1/5
Petition as evidence of reputation	Petition as evidence	95/9/53
Physical nature of the path	Physical and map evidence	96/5/30
Preparation of	Prep. and presentation part 1	94/8/32
	part 2	94/7/28
Presumption on through-route status	More than expected	96/3/20
Public acceptance required	The onus of proof	97/6/38
Railway plan evidence	Railway plan loophole	97/8/51
Reasonably alleged to subsist - SoS view of test	Suffolk gets another punch	02/6/26
Reasonably alleged to subsist confirms		
BOAT order	Byway pros and cons	01/5/28
Record of way as 'cart road'	A cart road	98/3/19
Reputation	Decisions in brief	09/2/22
	Still off the rails?	97/8/52
	Definitively wrong	97/7/44
	God and angels	09/2/13
'Road' in old docs not evidence of public road	Too bad about 'Bad Road'	03/7/48
Sales plans	Convincing catalogues	99/8/59
Second PI -view of highway authority	No BOAT, no comment	96/4/25
Second Pls/ new evidence	Re-assessing evidence	97/3/16
	Redressing the balance	97/6/38
	Up for grabs	96/8/45
	What's new	96/6/36
Status indication by reputation	Public knowledge	96/9/56
Sub judice - can PI evidence be?	Under judicial consideration	97/3/14
Surface as evidence of status	Rede again	96/5/31
To add -put in by parish council	Putting it on the map	94/8/30
Unusual sort of road	Bridleway Order confirmed as BOAT on the evidence	4 Mar 06
Using motorcycles on a bridleway	M'bikes on a Derbyshire bridleway	93/9/35
	Piper Lane	94/1/4
	Questionable utility	00/2/9
Extinguishment (& downgrading)	<i>Trevelyan v. SoS - Feb 01</i>	27 Apr 01
A correct approach	Publicising by extinguishment	97/3/15
Assessing need, post-order	The deletion and downgrading of public rights of way	07/4/46
Background & correct test: an overview	Lack of substance	03/4/29
Balance of evidence test not satisfied	Better off extinguished	01/4/24
'Benefit' test applied by Inspector		

	Bridleway added then extinguished		1 May 01
	Bridleway creation makes footpaths unnecessary	Safer and shorter	9 July 06
	By erosion	Not eroded but obstructed	97/2/10
	Condition of bridleways no reason to stop-up	Sheffield cuttled.	12/1/20
	Conditional creation agreement fails	A dead-end bridleway survives	10/2/24
	Coupled conditions and creation agreement	Groundless fears	02/4/16
	Crime - 118(B) HA 80	Extinguishment to prevent crime	06/12/26
	Criteria for	Alternative routes	91/9/34
		Gone for ever	97/6/39
	DMMO to extinguish?	Not via diversion DMMO	1 May 01
	'Duplicate route' after DMMO	Back to square one?	9 July 06
	Expediency test applied	Principle v. practicality	12/2/34
	Flood defences - alternative route provided	Decisions in brief	11/13/197
	Heritage factors outweigh	Hospital admission	00/6/41
	Inspector's decision upheld	<i>R oao Macintosh v SoS</i> [2006]	06/6/70
	Inspector's decision upheld - just	<i>Thould v. Secretary of State</i> , 7 July 2006	3 Sep 06
	Kent case an element in downgrading order	Doubts but no deletion	07/4/43
	Lack of use as ground to close?	Trying it on?	02/5/17
	Litter held to be a material factor	Decisions in brief	11/8/126
	Non-definitive rights	Extinguishing non-def. Rights	97/8/51
	Not needed test	Not 'not needed'	98/10/70
		Needed by the public	17 Oct 03
	Obstructed by illegal structures	A very nasty pasty	01/1/4
	Obstruction for years not adequate	Forgotten, but not gone	03/1/8
	Order rejected even though RA approves	A useful water splash	02/6/30
	Order rejected for want of qualifying new evidence		
	Original inclusion in def. map is not strong evidence	Out of order	08/4/46
		<i>R v. National Assembly for Wales ex p Robinson</i> , 11th April 2000	00/9/62
		<i>Trevelyan v. SoS for DETR</i>	00/7/48
	Public interest to be balanced	Balance of advantage	01/2/11
		Unacceptable extinguishments	04/6/51
	Safety grounds - alleged	Safety catch	05/2/8
	Schedule 14 directed order fails	Bridle rights survive	05/8/75
	Security grounds - alleged	Downgrading denied	09/5/61
	Section 116 HA80 criteria	Urban deprivation	07/6/68
	Site visit and 'applicant'	You'll be lucky!	10/11/183
	Special extinguishment order plan requirements		
	Statutory notices -position	Indicating convenience	10/1/2
	TCPA order to extinguish	Two yards too far!	97/10/62
	Traffic a reason to keep the path	Planning for closure	98/1/5
	Unnecessary?	Public paths public policy	99/10/77
	Vandalism as reason	No error	96/10/62
		Balance of expediency	00/2/13
		(ditto - in error)	00/4/25
		Planned out	98/1/5
		Public need is paramount	95/10/60
		S.118 - future use	89/6/24
		Stopping up crime	99/1/4
		UCRs at risk	90/8/29
		You'd think they'd know better	97/1/2
	Watercourses as impassable obstruction	Bridging the gaps	01/3/17
Finance Act 1910	Explanation for deduction - not RoW	Gentleman's agreement	07/4/41
	Value of as evidence	1909-10 Finance Act maps	89/7/28
		1909-10 Finance Act maps	92/5/18
		Decisions in brief	09/2/22
		Especially convincing	96/5/29
		Field Book evidence	97/5/27
			08/6/71
		Finance Act and tithe map evidence	01/1/6
	(see BB Extra Of 16 Nov 01 for counter view)		
		Finance Act evidence fails	01/10/47
		Finance Act records and private rights	04/3/35
		Game, set and match?	06/2/21
		Highways & 1909-10 FA maps	92/1/4
		Land duty maps	88/9/33
		Long-term finances	02/3/11
		Ordnance Survey v. Finance Act	03/1/7
		Proves bridleway	17 Oct 03

		'Public road' notation on working copies	06/4/46
		Records update	90/4/14
		Reluctant heroes	96/5/29
		Right of way or right of user?	03/6/40
		<i>Robinson Webster (Holdings) Ltd v. Colin and Sandra Agombar,</i>	30 Apr 01
		Sinister shift?	08/4/39
		Something wrong surely?	98/2/10
		The only reasonable inference	03/6/41
		Valuation value	99/1/3
		Wall to wall	02/5/22
		Weight of evidence	02/10/61
Firearms	Guns on the highway	Firearms on highways	83/1/4
Foot and mouth disease	Diversions in anticipation	F&MD fallout	06/2/14
Fords	Repair of / diversion	Sad Smoutel	06/6/71
		Smoutel's aga	11/10/157
	Standards of	Ford imperfect	11/14/214
Forestry land	User evidence over	A power to dedicate?	95/9/51
		Crown immunity	96/8/48
		Freedom to roam	97/4/20
		Problem of dedication	96/8/48
Gates	As a nuisance	<i>Mear v. Cambridgeshire CoCo [2006]</i>	06/6/70
		Pratt & Mackenzie	91/8/30
	Bridlegates - rights, etc	Bridlegates - the right to gate	85/1/4
		Tightening up on gates	98/10/72
	British Standard BS 5709 & limitations		12 Jan 08
		Decisions in brief	2 June 08
		Specifying limitations	08/6/72
		Limitation by gates	08/6/73
		Duty discharged	08/6/74
		Decisions in brief	08/6/75
		Decisions in brief	08/6/76
		Decisions in brief	09/3/39
		Public interests, optional standards	09/3/36
		Very welcome guidance	10/10/158
		Watching brief	09/2/24
		Watching brief	11/3/76
	Difficulties with	A practical and legal nightmare	80/2/8
	District Auditor comment	Well, that's clear then... Maybe	97/2/6
		On the latch	97/2/6
	Erection by council	Shutting the gate?	96/8/45
		Speaking of gates....	96/3/18
	LARA's ideas for gates on minor roads	More on gates...	99/4/25
	Not to be recorded on DMS	Decisions in brief	11/10/164
	Clean Neighbourhoods & Environment Act 2005		
Gating Orders		Gating orders ...	06/4/47
GLEAM	Survey of BOAT claims 2005	The truth is out there ...	05/6/46
Golf courses	Obstructions and danger	Across the fairway	98/9/64
		Decisions in brief (3 cases)	11/12/183
		Negligence on the links	86/7/28
Good practice guides	Advice to planners, developers, etc.	REPORT	99/3/18
	Highway authority's procedure manual	REPORT	99/4/26
Gradients	Steepness of roads as evidence	Ride a cock horse	97/3/17
Greenways	Urban & traffic-free "roads"	Greenways	92/7/27
Handover maps (1929)	Repair implications of showing or not	1929 and all that	02/3/10
Headland paths	Cross-field advantages	Putting it across	96/8/48
	Cross-field diversion	More Mellor	95/8/43
	Enjoyment as criterion to divert	If- not "when"!	96/1/5
	Payment schemes	Field-edge payments	96/8/44
Hearings	Hearing procedures	A case study	07/3/30
		Hearing better	08/2/16
		Hearing difficulties	98/4/25
		Helpful hearings	99/8/59
Health benefits	Use of in practice	Count the benefits	10/8/133
Hedges and walls	Declaratory judgement to protect	Protecting inclosure hedgerows	97/4/22
	Hedgerow protection - editorial	Using declaratory judgements	96/10/61
		Hedging the bets	95/3/9
		We stand corrected	98/4/26

	Hedgerow orders	Strict criterion	98/9/65
	Roadside inclosure hedges	Roadside hedges protected	08/2/15
Helicopters	Nuisance from	Domestic helipads	11/7/11
Highway boards	Surveys by	Highway board surveys	88/8/32
History of Roads	26 Geo. II	Petition	9 Apr 01
	Archeological techniques	Looking to our routes	84/9/34
	Blackstone Edge Long Causeway	History & condition	06/1/10
	Blackstone Edge - revisited	A follow up piece	6 Feb 06
	Kerry Ridgeway		07/2/18
	Last 100 years	Centennial wisdom	05/8/72
	Last 200 years		
	Our roads.... part 1		89/6/23
	Our roads.... part 2		88/6/23
	Lost roads	How many neglected....	10/10/157
	Mile marker signposts on unsealed roads.	History	83/6/23
	Milestones & markers	Missing guide stone	80/7/27
	Origins of roads	Tracing routes	98/4/27
		A story in an address	12/1/5
	Packhorses used into the 1950s.	Packmen and drovers.	98/9/65
	Rebecca Riots	Action direct	10/10/161
	Roman road rediscovered.	Kex Gill, Blubberhouses Moor ...	07/1/8
		Daughters of 'Becca	98/1/3
	Royal roads - chimini regales	It's not just history	97/4/21
	Sunken roads	Gilbert White's lanes	97/2/12
	Surnames from roads	Roads in surnames	10/6/109
	The Old Scotch Road		11/8/120
	The Road to Flodden Field		11/1/11
	Thief Street - in fact and fiction		01/9/42
	Tramps	An early Internet?	97/6/35
	Vehicular use 2000 years ago	Chausee deforme	2006-3-1
	Roads - a struggle to have recorded	Fishlake Green Lanes	9 Jan 06
	Birdlip Hill	An extract from a book of 1905	01/9/43
Human rights	As an objection to an order	Rights - vehicular and human	10/10/177
		Decisions in brief	05/6/53
	BB Extra	Euro' bird directives & DMMOs	23 Dec 05
	Not a basis for objection	Euro' bird directives & DMMOs	Not well founded
			16 Nov 01
		Landowner considerations	07/1/4
Inclosure awards	Act complied with?	A pre-1801 inclosure award	01/6/32
	Acts in conflict?	Unfinished business	08/5/51
	Acys necessary to validate awards?	There's a rabbit away ...	07/2/21
	Andrews case issues	Andrews again	05/4/29
		Andrews arguments	05/7/63
		An invalid award	05/3/16
		Inclosure outcomes	06/2/20
		Invalid reasoning (letter)	09/4/53
	Awarded bridle road	An inclosure-awarded bridleway	06/12/26
	Awarded Carriage Road	Not practical to show as BOAT	88/1/4
	Basic guidance on	Enclosure award roads - part 1	88/3/12
		Enclosure award roads - part 2	16 Aug 05
	BOAT - evidence of	Award indicates BOAT	96/8/47
	By agreement	A questionable agreement	03/10/71
	<i>Cubitt v. Maxse</i> argument rejected	Byways galore	11/12/180
	Evidence rejected	No kidding	05/8/75
	Footpaths - able to set out?	A public foot road	99/5/33
		<i>Andrews and Dunlop</i> revisited	00/7/47
		Commissioners under scrutiny	00/6/42
	'General Act' of 1845	Ultra vires paths	02/5/20
		An ancient bridle road	09/1/16
		Another inclosure-awarded restricted byway	02/5/21
		End of a long Barrow story	02/5/22
		Roads and the 1845 Inclosure Act	97/5/29
	Powers of commissioners re footpaths	Map interpretation	04/2/16
	Pre-existing highways	Parliamentary inclosure and ... #1	04/3/31
		Parliamentary inclosure and ... #2	04/4/42
		Parliamentary inclosure and ... #3	01/4/24
	Private award confirms BOAT	A private award	97/6/37
	Private roads	Inclosure award paths	00/6/41
	Private road set out intra vires	Judging the commissioners	06/5/53
	'Public horse road'	A public horse and footway ...	00/7/47
	'Public right of road'	Commissioners under scrutiny	

	Public watering hole	Extraterrestrial intervention?	07/6/71
	Public way not validly awarded.	Not fatally <i>ultra vires</i>	11/11/168
	Who "owns" the road?	Ownership of awarded highways	86/4/16
	Width of road as per award	Decisions in brief	30 May 09
Judicial review	Types of order and grounds for	The judicial review	85/3/12
	Use against order-making authority	Clash of the Titans	97/1/2
	Use to frighten environmental activists	Slapping down (leader)	97/1/1
Jus spatiandi	Equestrian user	Bridleway not created	06/12/26
Justices certificates	Absence of cert. not fatal to status	Persistence rewarded	96/3/19
	Justices' stop-up certificate from 1792	Common Road & common sense	99/5/34
King's Highway	Meaning of		
	Gradients, steps and a...		97/5/30
	King's highway		97/6/35
LAFs	Value of.	I'm sorry, I haven't a clue	11/7/109
Land registry	Use of in RoW cases	Searching the land registry	91/6/24
		Open to question?	91/3/9
Land use	Policies and practice		
	Land use- part 1		89/7/27
	Land use- part 2		89/8/31
	Set-aside	Access gets set aside	89/7/25
Law source materials	1744 law book extracts	A new law dictionary - Giles Jacob	99/10/81
Lawful use of highway	What can roads be used for?	Lawful use of the highway	99/7/51
Legal events	Oldest order?	A legal event	91/10/37
Legislative change?	Suggestions for the next 'Rights of Way Act'	The next act	06/4/43
Liability for injury on dangerous paths		<i>Ali v. City of Bradford MBC</i> [2010] EWCA Civ 1282.	11/3/56
		<i>Kane v. New Forest District Council</i> [2001] 3 All ER 914	02/1/4
Limitations	Article looking at what is a 'limitation'	Limitations and conditions	07/5/60
	Boatyard as occasional limitation	Decisions in brief	11/12/182
	Bridge is a limitation	Appropriate, but not necessary	09/1/6
	Bridge is not a limitation - is itself the highway	Decisions in brief	07/4/45
		Decision in brief	16 March 08
		Decision in brief	11/6/108
		Watching brief	11/8/127
	Gates as limitations		14 Mar 08
		Lessons in limitation	07/6/72
		No conspiracy (or gate)	14 Mar 08
	Grass strip as limitation	Decisions in brief	11/9/148
	Maintenance burden as limitation	Decisions in brief	08/4/48
	Need for adequate precision in order	Decisions in brief	07/5/59
	'New' barrier cannot be a limitation	Decisions in brief	07/3/36
	'New' barrier cannot be a limitation	Decisions in brief	07/4/45
	'New' barrier cannot be a limitation	Unchallenged evidence	12/1/26
	Set-aside land is not a limitation	Decisions in brief	11/1/26
	Sleeper bridge not limitation	Decisions in brief	14 Mar 08
	Waymarks not limitation	Decisions in brief	14 Mar 08
		Decisions in brief	08/4/48
List of streets	Validity of map as a s.36(6) list	Decisions in brief	11/8/126
		<i>Fortune & Oths v. Wiltshire Council</i> (2010)	11/3/57
		<i>Fortune and the list of streets</i>	11/10/161
Litter on paths	Using the EPA1990 to enforce clean-up	A year in North Tyneside	05/7/57
Maintenance & repair	Bridleway ordered to be repaired	<i>Kind v. Gateshead Council</i> , 7th November 2000	01/1/8
	Compensation - injury caused by HA	<i>Gorringe v. Calderdale MBC</i> [2004]	04/6/52
		<i>Hennelly v. Worcestershire CC</i> (2004)	04/5/48
	Contract culture	Contract bridge	94/1/3
		Tender(ing) persuasion	93/8/29
		CCT- looming threat....?	93/5/17&19
	Costs in the Crown Court	<i>Seymour v. North Yorkshire CoCo</i> , 10th November 2000	01/3/20
	Cost of fixing bridleway	Glorious mud	10/7/115
	Court order ignored	In, out, in and out, of court	02/8/43
		Out of court	02/8/43
		Weedley words	02/6/28
	Duties of highway authority	Duty to repair	88/9/36

		<i>Sorensen v. Cheshire Co Co</i>	80/1/4
	Going to the justices	A nest of hornets	88/7/27
		Hornet: still buzzing	08/6/68
		In the hornets' nest	92/4/15
		Taking up the cudgels	91/4/15
	Ice and snow?	<i>Goodes v. East Sussex CoCo</i> , The Independent Law Reports, 20th June 2000	00/9/63
	List of 'private repair' public roads	Dormer Green Lane ...	07/1/9
	Old law book	Law Related to Highways (Glen)	1 May 01
	Records as evidence of status	Methusalem's stretch	03/3/23
	Section 56 HA1980 orders	A lateral approach?	98/3/16
		An expensive outcome	00/8/52
		A stern judicial rebuke	04/2/11
		Birley bottomed	09/1/4
		Cliffe again, again	00/4/24
		Done in a flash!	01/3/15
		Kind v. NYCC, June 2000	00/8/55
		Repair - expectation	20 Apr 01
		Roman Bridge renewed	09/1/4
		Wrekin havoc	99/7/50
		Wrekin (follow up)	03/1/2
	Section 56 HA1980 orders are independent of DMMO processes	The merits of proceedings under s.56 of the Highways Act 1980	01/1/3
	Seeking court orders to require repair	The use of s.56 HA1980	93/5/20
		Paying for repairs	90/7/28
	Standards and powers	Economic assessment #2	98/6/37
		Economic assessment #3	98/6/37
		Legal position	89/5/20
		The slippery slope	86/5/20
	Standard Spending Assessment explained	Report	00/10/72
	Stepping stones reinstated	LETTERS	210/7/116
	TRO against equestrians no defence	Reopening Roman Bridge	07/5/55
	Wall stone can be used to repair footpaths (only)	Know your place in society.	05/8/70
Management initiatives	A personal view on management	Continuing the access debate pt1	88/4/15
		Continuing the access debate pt2	88/5/19
	Alderney	Island hopping	07/3/31
	America	Back country byways	91/9/35
	Cambrian Mountain Project	Bold in Wales	08/5/50
	Cambridgeshiregreen lanes	81/8/30
	Gosling Report revisited	Forty years on	08/5/58
	Hampshire	Positive management	92/8/32
	Hertfordshirerights of way management	83/9/35
	Kent	Public paths partnership	87/3/11
	Lake District National Park	Hierarchy of Trail Routes	01/9/44
		The right track	05/4/26
	Lincolnshire	Green lanes in Lincolnshire	94/9/35
		A re-organised county council	87/4/15
	Northumberland	Week in the life of a RoW officer	91/1/3
	North Yorkshire	NYT Management Advisory Group	2 Mar 06
	Nottinghamshire	Path review	83/5/19
	Shropshire	A modus operandi	86/2/8
	Snowdonia	Climb Snowdon ...	04/7/53
	South Hams District -Strategy	An object lesson?	94/6/24
	South Yorkshire	Bridleway success in	82/3/10
	Tees Valley	Banning horses from roads	06/2/13
	Using volunteers	An essential ingredient	92/5/19
		20 miles of bridleway for £4k	90/5/19
	Yorkshire Dales	Getting there	89/4/15
		Green lanes survey	89/4/13
Map evidence	Accuracy of order plan v. old maps	A different angle	02/7/37
		High tech on the king's highway	02/7/39
	Aggregated to prove case	A byway in the Peaks	09/5/61
		A cul-de-sac RB	09/2/20
		A forgotten highway	08/1/7
		A King's Highway	01/1/6
		A long-standing bridleway	11/9/43
		A persuasive case	10 Nov. 2007
		An old through ro	07/2/22
		Claims in the balance	05/5/37

	Collective inference	02/6/30
	<i>Commission for New Towns v. Gallagher</i> [2002]	04/10/87
	Common law BOATs and maintenance records	02/8/46
	Early rights	02/3/12
	Exemplar?	05/2/7
	Hampshire bridleways	07/2/23
	Home counties BOATs	9 July06
	Lonely road	05/5/35
	New maps, second thoughts	97/5/28
	Maps and walls	99/7/53
	Maps v. Chaps	97/7/45
	More sense from the bench	99/6/43
	<i>Parker v. Nottinghamshire County Council</i> [2009].	09/3/32
	Rights - vehicular and human	01/9/43
	Sufficient weight in an award	06/2/17
	Unfinished business	01/6/32
	Weighed in the balance	02/5/20
	West Riding rights	10 Nov. 2007
Aggregation doesn't prove case	A confusing picture	06/4/39
	A reasonable allegation only	07/3/34
	All or nothing	04/10/85
	An unconvincing case	09/2/17
	Ancient routes, but no higher rights	09/4/50
	Ambiguous, weak & unconvincing	09/2/17
	Bottoms, Bunns, and Trapp's	09/2/18
	Claims in the balance	05/5/37
	Decisions in brief	11/8/126
	Improbable probabilities	10/2/20
	Missing links	02/9/54
	Natural selection	98/7/42
	Sufficient weight in an award	06/2/17
	Suffolk BOATs sink	05/3/14
	Weighed and found wanting	10/8/150
Bartholomew's cycling maps considered	Alas Smith & James	00/6/38
Bartholomew's - dates of editions	Dating Barts. Maps.	99/4/28
'Back Lane' and cross roads	Back lane blues	99/3/15
Basic guide	Documentary evidence	90/3/12
BOAT from bridleway order		1 May 01
Boundary records	OS boundary records	97/2/17
Bridge book as evidence	Records of weight	05/6/52
Bryant's map - analysed	Bryant's map of Suffolk	00/3/20
Byway proved entirely on map evidence	Map interpretation	00/5/35
Byway Status	Map evidence	1 May 01
Canal evidence proves strong	Unintended consequence	07/5/57
Cary's half-inch map - validating the entries	'A methodology to establish the accuracy ...'	05/8/79
	Patterson, perambulators and plagiarism	06/3/35
Committee minutes as evidence	Meaningful minutes	10/2/23
Court's view in 1929	Documentary evidence: in 1929	99/4/23
Court's view in 1985	<i>Scriven v. Somerset County Council</i> (1985)	07/11/12
Cross roads, etc	Cross roads - cross purposes?	97/9/58
	Double cross	98/1/18
	Heads I win ...	01/1/17
	<i>Hollins v. Oldham</i>	97/3/18
	Note this guidance	97/6/34
	Planning Inspectorate Guidance Note	99/9/73
	Rule of thumb...	00/5/35
	Weighing the maps	97/5/25
	Weston Patrick finale	98/7/42
	What is a cross road?	97/6/33
	Win some, lose some	98/7/41
Depiction of "public road" on old OS	OS rules	96/3/20
Early OS maps	Antique roads? No!	96/8/49
	More pointers	00/9/60
Estate plan good evidence	'Once of highway'	01/8/39
Ford over river is good evidence	Dylan's BOAT	05/8/74
Gaps filled by circumstantial evidence	First edition value	97/5/27
Guidebook to old map atlases	A logical extension	96/9/56
Handover maps 1929, Inspector's view	Rule of Thumb...	00/5/35
How to start researching	Review	98/6/35
Inclosure award not followed	Once a highway - unless	02/10/62
Inclosure Act to be taken as valid	Annotio ...	05/2/7

	Interpretation of	Detectives at work	90/6/24
	Key to John Cary road maps	Documentary evidence of status	03/4/32
	Local authority records have weight	Interpreting map evidence	97/2/11
	Maps before 1820	Local authority records	05/7/61
	Old maps	<i>Kent CoCo v. Loughlin</i>	05/7/67
	Original Names Books (OS)	Bodleian holds the key	96/9/54
	Norfolk CC v. Mason [2004]	Original Names Books	99/3/16
	Parochial road	An 'historic route'	04/5/47
	Presumption of (recent) dedication from 'Private accommodation roads'	Public road or private road?	05/5/39
	Public accommodation road on old map	Hole Lane history	04/2/22
	Quarters sessions documents	Highly persuasive	05/1/4
	Railway plan fails to prove case	No reputation?	12/1/26
	Railway plan 'very strong evidence'	Ancient lanes as footpaths	2 June 08
	Researching at the PRO, Kew	Public accommodation	02/7/38
	Secretary of State view in 1999	Asylum cul-de-sac	04/9/73
	Sequence of mapping is persuasive	An 'obfuscated narrative'	11/2/48
	Side road order plans as evidence	A choice of plan	10 Nov. 2007
	Spot heights on OS	A significant plan	03/1/7
	Turnpike maps valuable evidence	Calderdale gets elbowed	00/8/54
	Unimpressive to Inspector	Weighty railway records	05/6/51
	Unusual sort of road	Part #1	02/10/59
	Value and effect of small scale	Part #2	03/1/4
	Value of Bartholomew maps	Documentary evidence in 1999	99/4/23
	Value of cumulative map evidence	Maps prevail - in the end	00/4/26
	Value of scale and spot heights on OS	An unusual bridleway	10/2/23
	Value of depiction as "cross road"	Mapping the past	80/8/31
	Value of tithe map in showing BOAT	Once a turnpike ...	02/4/16
Mediation	Mediation to solve disputes	Turnpike teaser	05/3/14
Ministry of Defence	Rights of Way Disputes	Priorsdale problems	00/10/70
	Diverting and stopping up	Bridleway Order confirmed as BOAT on the evidence	4 Mar 06
National Farmers Union	Military Land	Tithes and spot heights	96/5/31
	Access policies	Convincing map evidence	96/3/19
National Countryside Access Forum	Report on activities	Bartholomew's half-inch maps	93/9/36
National Parks	Access into	Relying on maps	96/5/30
	Hobhouse Report, 1947	A convincing accumulation	97/1/3
	Linear access provision	Begging to differ	96/9/55
	Equestrian provision	More from the bench	96/10/61
National Trails	South Downs Way extension	Private road, public byway	99/9/71
	Pennine Way obstructs a bridleway	Mediation: a new option?	00/5/30
National Trust	Attitude to reclassified RUPP	Interim Report	15 Nov 01
	Stonehenge proposals 2002	The battle for Larkhill ranges	84/7/28
	Stonehenge proposals 2011	Larkhill ascending	09/2/10
	User evidence v. effect of bylaws	RoW over or near	17 Nov 01
	Access Review Working Party	Managing Public Access	95/1/2&3
Natural England	Policy statement	The way forward	84/9/33
	Policy statements	The NCAF	03/6/39
Natural justice	Basic principles of	Straining the train	06/6/68
		Hobhouse's choice	09/3/40
		Extract from degree thesis - Simon Yeomans 1999	99/9/74
		Bridle routes in Snowdonia	04/7/56
		Out of time	16 March 08
		For shame!	08/5/49
		Legal nonsense	00/9/63
		Between a rock and a hard place	02/5/24
		Stonehenge byways: status quo	11/14/206
		Bylaws, bridleways & boomerangs	95/10/60
		Bylaws repay Trust	94/10/39
		Dolebury marathon	00/9/60
		Dolebury - the end in sight?	01/8/39
		More on NT bylaws	96/9/55
		The National Trust (letter)	83/622
		Open Countryside	95/9/56
		Access to the countryside	83/4/15
		Natural visions	06/5/50
		Paths for Communities	11/14/197
		Natural justice	89/2/8

	Ombudsman complaintsit's worth a try	82/2/8
	Ombudsman declines to intervene	The wrong remedy	00/3/17
Objections	Credibility of objector	Decisions in brief	08/5/64
	Electronic submission of case papers	E-mail v. Royal Mail	11/1/9
	Irrelevant objections in determination	Decisions in brief	09/2/22
	Objection not acted upon	Prime cut?	07/5/54
	Relevant grounds - the Lasham case	Misconceived objections -2	92/10/40
		Misconceived objections -1	92/9/36
	Is objection a challenge		1 May 01
Obstruction	Access road for new houses is bridleway.	Opening a gap	96/1/4
	Act is bulwark against invading landowners	<i>Holloway v. Egham UDC</i> [1980]	00/10/72
	Adverse possession - claim of	<i>Smith v. Land Registry</i> [2009]	09/3/33
	Awaiting outcome of closure case	<i>Bucks CC v. Simms</i>	90/10/40
	Bridleways - stiles must be moved	Something right, indubitably	98/2/10
		Compare & contrast #2	98/5/30
	Bulls, etc	Bulls are not the only barrier	86/9/35
	Countryside & RoW Act 2000	Removal of obstructions	10 July 01
	Cross compliance	Cross purposes	04/6/50
	Crops and clearance by chemicals	Grim reaper	98/1/1
		<i>R v. Nottinghamshire CoCo, ex p East Midland Development Ltd</i> , 12th April 2000	01/1/8
	Dangerous obstruction on bridleway	High crime, or misdemeanour?	99/2/10
	Decriminalising obstructions -SORNs	The injustice of frustration	99/9/68
	Delay in clearing - unreasonable	Tradition interrupts highway use	27 July 04
	Dedication with restrictions	Getting past obstructions	10/1/4
	Deviation from route past obstructions		
	Deviation past obstruction defeats user-based DMMO	Deviation, not dedication	10/2/29
	Diversion is council's first response	Spitting in the public's eye?	02/6/27
	Diversion processed before clearance	Parallel lines?	02/4/14
	Diversion first held wrong by court	East Sussex hit ...	03/2/10
	Gates as	<i>Durham CC v. Scott</i>	90/7/26
	Gates must be removed	Victory for the little people!	04/2/10
		<i>Herrick v. Kidner & Somerset CoCo</i> (2009)	09/2/12
		<i>Herrick v. Kidner & Somerset CoCo</i> (2010)	10/2/14
	HA fast-tracks diversion for landowner whilst ignoring adjacent obstruction of same bridleway	Christmas turkey	01/2/10
	HA tries to close obstructed FP	Obstr. by illegal development	96/2/6
		Travelling obstructions	93/10/40
		Up the garden path	93/2/5
	Intentional blocking v. application	Decisions in brief	09/6/76
	Minimal block is still obstruction	<i>Durham CC v. Scott</i> [1991] JPL 362	00/1/6
	Most obstructed bridleway?	Letters	08/3/31
	New age travellers	New age dilemmas	92/9/35
	New path obstructed by old houses	What happens next?	96/4/25
	Permanent or temporary?	A permanent obstruction	01/8/39
		Another twist in the Maulden tale	06/5/54
		Down in Bedfordshire ...	08/4/42
		Maulden FP 28: a dramatic denouement.	09/3/30
		Maulden maundering?	06/6/62
		Maulden mired?	02/5/18
		Maulden mischief	08/1/12
		More Maulden movement	10/2/10
		More on Maulden	01/9/43
		Shall the not-meek inherit ... (Maulden)	01/8/40
		Shooting your own officers? (Maulden)	02/2/5
		A fruit-and-nu case? (Maulden)	10/4/56
	Prosecution of offender	Last resort	98/1/2
	Prioritisation of new problems	Out of the pigeon-hole	93/4/13
	Refusal of HA to clear disputed path	A funny thing ...	00/7/46
	Restricted byways - must be clear	Stile counsel	07/2/14
	Section 130A orders	A limited provision?	04/10/80
		Back to Birley	05/3/11
		(Birley) Repairs specified	09/2/10
		Orton opened	09/3/26
		Pennine Way to go	09/1/4
		Reviewing the notices	05/8/70
		Skeby sorted. Sort of	09/1/4
	Storing materials on highway	<i>Foy v. Dacorum and Herts</i>	90/10/40

	Traffic calming is not an obstruction	That's no obstruction...	99/9/69
	Using the road as a workplace	Re <i>Ellenborough</i> : no parking	95/9/51
	Using Environment Act and s.56 unsuccessfully	<i>Westley v. Hertfordshire County Council</i>	98/10/74
	Wade's Corrieyairack Pass blocked	Storm over the pass	96/2/15
	Wilfulness, reasonableness & lawful	Obstructions	92/3/12
Occupation roads	Inspector's view on	Occupation roads and second Pls	96/10/62
Occupiers liability	Responsibility for injury		
	Occupiers liability - part 1		85/7/28
	Occupiers liability - part 2		85/8/32
Ombudsman	Imposition against officer recommendation	Local democracy in action	99/2/6
	Refusal to consider complaint	Chop-chop - no chance	97/5/26
	Schedule 14 appeals	Lincolnshire double	02/6/26
	Unreasonable delays in doing statutory work	A formidable backlog	05/4/26
		Trying too hard?	06/1/2
		Milestones or millstones?	99/5/31
'Once a highway ...'	Basic rule restated	A disused carriageway	16 May 07
		Loder v. Gaden (1997)	00/6/44
	Rule confirmed as rule of law	Loder v. Gaden (1999)	04/2/15
	Rule revisited	Valedictory for a principle	01/5/27
Open Spaces			Commons -
Society			Horse riding
Order making	History of	A paper by Bob Milton	on
	Administrative costs example	Background to urban public access	29 July 04
	Adding 'parts' to orders	Welsh wonga	08/6/67
	Applications - validity of	Adding parts	11/4/86
	Combined orders	Level playing field	11/10/155
		Combination punch	08/4/42
		A combined order	11/2/49
		Part work	11/5/95
		Recording a negative?	11/6/105
	Consultation - failure vitiates order	A need to consult	05/5/35
	Commencement of 81 Act process	Commencement	83/4/16
	Decision letter - quality of	Decisions in brief	11/1/26
	Defective orders	A fatal flaw	03/9/63
		Back to the drawing board	97/6/38
		Darwen stand off	16 May 07
		Decisions in brief	3 Sep 06
		Encyclopaedic knowledge	98/9/64
		If at first you don't succeed	07/5/58
		Misleading?	99/1/3
		Many a slip	09/5/62
		<i>R oao Warden & Fellows of Winchester College v. HCC & SoS for EFRA</i> [2007]	08/1/10
		<i>R oao Warden & Fellows of Winchester College v. HCC & SoS for EFRA</i> [2008]	08/3/29
		Subsection slippage	11/4/91
	Delay in process - 20 years	Decisions in brief	06/1/9
	Delay in process - 18 years	Eighteen years	10/7/113
	Detail - degree of, in orders	<i>Perkins v. SoS for EFRA</i> [2009].	09/3/32
	Duty to consider agriculture	A forgotten duty?	07/2/14
	Duty to consider biodiversity	A new duty	07/2/15
	Duty to investigate (O' Keefe)	Coroner declares O'Keefe is dead!	02/5/18
	Getting things moving	Applying for an order	83/6/24
	Investigating applications	The duty to investigate	88/6/24
	Landowners not known	Seeking dispensation	06/3/27
	Local authority cannot be applicant for order	Still not acceptable	14 Mar 08
	Making public path orders	Public path orders - part 1	84/1/4
		Public path orders - part 2	84/2/8
		Public path orders	83/9/36
	Making modification orders	When a map should be changed	83/7/28
	Making orders	Making orders	83/5/20
		A higher standard of...	89/5/17
	Modification notices (wrongly worded)	'Administrative oversight'	11/7/112
	New evidence	What is 'new' evidence	26 Jul 04
	Notice period not complied with	Insufficient notice	2 Mar 08
	Number of orders in two years - national	Interesting figures	98/4/24
	'Ownership' of orders - survivability	What's yours is mine	02/3/11

	Portmanteau order - mixed outcomes	A mixed bag	11/3/72
	Post-O'Keefe	Case report	89/4/16
	Prioritisation of applications policy	Locked away?	02/4/15
	Reasonably alleged to subsist	<i>R v. SoS for Wales ex p Emery</i>	97/9/60
		Reasonably alleged, but not established	11/3/73
		<i>Bagshaw & Norton</i>	02/3/10
	Relevant date	Relevant error	10/10/160
	Scale of plans	Scales of justice	08/2/24
	Scaling off plans	Decisions in brief	07/6/73
	Serving notices by email	Orders by ether	11/3/53
		The service of notices via email	10/5/79
	Serving notice on landowners	The unidentified owner	88/7/28
	Time delay	Fourteen years on	98/10/71
	WCA 81 post mortem	Does the WCA81 work?	84/9/36
Ordnance Survey	Withdrawal of support for order by HA	Bad deal in dodgy city	97/1/4
	Copyright and definitive maps	Wrong about copyright?	00/10/65
	Future of	OS & the National Interest	97/7/46
	OS map held wrong in evidence	Yorkshire blockbuster	07/4/40
Ownership of the road	Who owns the top and subsoil?	A public interest in the soil of commons, wastes and moors	04/7/59
		Ownership of the highway	86/3/12
		Ownership of awarded highways	86/4/16
		Ownership doubts	97/7/44
		Ownership not crucial	01/2/11
		White roads, white knuckles	99/4/23
		Question of ownership	01/10/47
Parish councils	Involvement in RoW	Parish, town & Community c'cils	83/3/11
	Local councils and RoW		83/3/12
Parish paths part'ship	Official launch	Parish paths partnership	93/1/3
	Pre-launch	Priming the parish pump	92/6/23
Parish records	Maintenance records from the parishes	A parish by lanes committee	89/9/35
Planning Inspectorate	Consistency Guidelines	... reissued without proper revision	04/3/26
	Consistency Guidelines review abandoned	Shifting the blame	04/5/45
	Response to complaint about Inspector	The wrong approach?	04/2/11
	Ridiculous delay in updating	Losing the will to live	08/3/27
Perambulation		A report from 1838	
Pesticides	defra guidance	Manor of Milford	10/2/9
Planning process	New guidance for householders	Pesticides & RoW signage	01/1/1
	Specific policies for RoW	Good advice ...	85/9/35
		A structure plan.....	85/9/36
		Planning for rights of way	82/3/12
		Points for planners	81/3/12
Ploughing	Applying 1990 Act powers	Reclaiming our heritage	83/7/25
		Plough the paths & scatter	91/9/36
		Prosecuting under RoW Act 1990	91/7/26
		Prosecution under RoW Act 1990	90/9/36
		Reflections on the RoW Act 1990	90/8/31
		The RoW Act 1990	4 June 01
	Bridleway ploughed	A report from North Yorks	95/6/30
	Dedication of away subject to a right	Subject to ploughing	96/2/16
	Farmer convicted	Ploughing bridleways	99/3/20
	Headland ploughing -grants?	Feeding the hand that bites you?	95/9/55
	Local Government Ombudsman dec'n.	Setting high standards?	95/1/1
	NFU policies- editorial critique	The same old furrow?	87/7/28
	Pre-1990 procedures	Who ploughed that field?	6 Aug 01
	Thetford RDC	Ploughed road - 1931	93/2/6
Police	Advice to landowners to block RoW	New age dilemmas	95/1/4
	Policy on recreational use of roads	Social use of the highway	06/6/61
Policy initiatives	Launch of BBT equestrian plan	Paths, routes & trails ...	06/6/63
	The need for DLW & volunteers	Keep soldiering on	
Position correction orders	Moving a route wrongly located on the DMS	Decisions in brief	09/2/22
	The meaning & application of omnia		
Presumptions	praesumuntur rite esse acta	Decisions in brief	09/1/8
Private roads	Can be a public road	A cautionary tale	09/2/14
		A journey through private roads	11/2/33
		A Lincoln green lane	03/3/22
		A 'private carriage road' BOAT	2 June 08

		Cliffe again	99/9/71
		Convincing and compelling	06/4/39
		Cul de sac averted	06/3/31
		Decisions in brief	12/1/27
		Possibly private	12/1/24
		Private or public	25 Dec 05
		<i>R v. Richards</i> (1800) 8 TR 634	99/10/80
		Well wishing	08/4/42
	Can be a public bridleway	Private road, public bridleway	03/9/65
	Estate roads held to be bridleways	Estate road bridleways	08/3/33
	Landlocked section of road	An isolated BOAT	99/8/59
	Later dedication as bridleway is OK	Standing firm	06/2/16
	Meaning of	A public "private road"	97/6/36
	 and the Cottingham case	89/6/22
		Agricultural surveys & private roads	98/1/17
		- " -	98/5/31
		<i>Andrews and Dunlop</i> revisited	99/5/33
		Clear enough?	98/10/69
		<i>Dunlop</i> rides again	98/6/36
		More on 'private'	98/2/14
		No rights on private carriage road	10/3/51
		Use and benefit	91/8/31
		Private drive - public road	01/5/25
		Private means private?	99/6/39
		Private parsed	12/1/24
		Public & private roads	89/9/34
		'Private road' - letter	87/4/14
		Private road - part 1 -article	87/1/14
		Private road - part 2 -article	87/2/8
		Second time around	98/4/25
		The meaning of the word 'private' ...	11/9/141
	New evidence - Glatton PI #2	Second thoughts	94/6/23
	New evidence - Glatton PI #3	Glatton roundabout	96/6/36
	New evidence - Glatton PI #4	Full circle at Glatton	97/1/13
	Post-Dunlop interpretation	A private problem	
		Private thoughts	96/8/48
		Riding on <i>Dunlop</i>	97/5/29
		<i>Wandsworth</i> revisited	01/3/18
	Quarry argument rejected	Invalid reasoning	05/8/77
	Quarry argument repeated	A Private Award	6 Apr 01
	Robert Halstead	Whose space is it anyway?	06/3/25
Privatised access	'Public realm' areas	HA 1980 v. WCA 1981	02/7/36
Proving rights	Tension between procedures?	The public at large	97/5/27
Public at large	Meaning of	Deemster Doyle determines	11/13/186
Public importance	Meaning of public importance	Success for absentee objectors	10/2/26
Public inquiries	Absence of a party is not fatal	Adjourning public inquiries	97/5/31
	Adjourning	It's a discretion thing	97/7/43
		Rock banging delayed	11/9/130
	Amicus curiae	PINS refusal to provide	10/1/2
	Bias - guard against	'Three counties rule' is gone	01/9/41
	'Bundles' - access to	Rules and bundles	09/2/15
	Cancellation at late stage	Bad deal in dodgy city	97/1/4
	Challenge to Inspector's decision on facts	Gates of Eden	96/10/61
	Claims for costs	A useful tip	01/8/38
		Not unreasonable	96/1/16
		Costs- <i>ex parte Smith and Deller</i>	94/3/12
		Costs and complaints for PIs	95/8/48
		Norfolk turkey	93/9/33
		Considerable catch on costs	97/8/50
	Complaints	Costs and complaints for PIs	95/8/48
		Gone, but not forgotten	06/3/27
	Conflict of interest for Inspector	Decisions in brief	10 Nov. 2007
	Council on Tribunals bites on PI conduct	Called to account	01/1/2
	Evidence taken under oath	Under oath	02/3/12
	Expert witnesses	Reluctant witness	05/5/36
	Five inquiries for one order	Decisions in brief	06/4/42
	HA taking neutral stance after schd 14		96/2/7
	Order and object	Schedule 14 blues	94/6/22
		Sitting on the fence	99/1/4
	How to give evidence	Appearing at inquiries...	97/5/31

How many public inquiries held in 2009?	Counting the outcomes	10/1/3
Interference with witnesses?	Credibility gap	07/6/72
Inspector's power to determine	Powers invested	10/5/78
Inspectors not following guidance	New mourning	11/9/138
	Still in black	11/10/154
Late submission of evidence & law	A late submission	97/8/52
	Even nuttier	11/11/166
	More bites at the cherry	00/1/3
	A very slim case	02/2/7
	Ducking the issue	08/4/38
	It's only people's games ...	02/3/9
	<i>Whitworth</i> : loose wheelnuts?	11/9/135
	Within the rules	09/4/55
Legal submissions - new advice note 3	I submit!	07/4/39
Location of - letter	Cold comfort hall	97/2/6
Longest PI? 25 days	Yorkshire blockbuster	07/4/40
Modifying orders - Inspector agrees to	A long. Long trail a-winding	04/7/57
	Changes of mind	3 Sep 06
	Repeat performances	9 July 06
Modifying orders - refusal	A modification too far	06/1/6
	A vanished public road	06/4/41
	Another round?	16 May 07
	Commuter belt BOATs	06/3/30
	Matters arising	08/3/34
	More than his job's worth?	02/7/37
	Off the order map	04/2/22
	Repeat performances	9 July 06
Natural justice - the 'Pinochet argument'	Tale of Hoffman?	01/4/22
	Decisions in brief	09/4/56
Notices - must be properly worded	Blunted points of law	05/5/36
Objections at second PI - validity?	Suck it and see?	01/2/11
Objections withdrawn - Inspector's role	An unsuccessful assertion	99/10/77
OMA declines to support its own order	Advice Note #1 from Inspectorate	98/8/57
	Passive neutrality	06/6/66
OMA declines to choose a status	Whatever you think, Inspector	3 Sep 06
Pre-inquiry meeting leads to bundling of orders		
Preparation for - article	Seven days in Devon	05/4/28
Procedure at	Part 1 - preparation	91/7/28
QC's behaviour at PI	Public inquiries	91/8/32
Quality control in the process	Lawyers with attitude	02/9/54
	High standards & balance sheets	99/8/60
	Inspecting the inspectors	90/10/38
	Just expectation?	07/1/1
	Still 99% error-free	00/1/3
	Zen and the art of... Pls	97/6/40
Reversal of earlier decision	A closer look	98/9/64
	Marwood revisited	03/4/28
	Topsy-turvy II	03/3/24
Right to a fair hearing	<i>Bryan v. United Kingdom</i>	96/5/28
	Enforcement by costs: <i>Ridley & Masters v. SoS</i>	
Rules	[2009]	09/2/10
	Explaining the structure	07/5/62
	Explaining the structure (revised)	07/6/74
	10% summary of witness statements	08/3/26
Rules in Wales	Bell, book and candle?	11/14/202
Second PI - additional evidence accepted	Research pays off	01/3/18
Second PI - new rules post-Marriott	Separating out	01/7/35
Statutory interpretation: 'purposive'	Assuming the wig?	07/3/29
	The secret to success ...	08/4/40
Three inquiries for one issue	A Humberside trilogy	96/2/16
Timescale	Doctor Who is believed...	97/5/23
	Stretching ahead	97/5/25
Two inspectors at one PI	A helping hand	05/8/73
Validity of order before the PI	Nothing to do with me	99/6/42
Validity of public inquiry to decide orders	Dolebury Marathon	00/9/60
	Objections overruled (again)	00/9/60
Video evidence to be admitted?	Palpable friction	96/1/1
Volunteers v. professionals	Volunteers and public inquiries	05/3/21
What inspectors know	The view from Bristol	96/1/11
	Alternative view	96/8/46
	As one who was there	96/9/54

Public nuisance		Changing down in Cumbria	08/4/44
		Motor use of bridleway	30 Jun 04
		Second thoughts on Silly Dale	09/4/52
Public service indicators		How they are being falsified	04/8/64
Quarter sessions	Road records	A fruitful comparison	16/5/07
		Making use of QS papers	87/6/24
		Quarter sessions	87/5/20
Railways	Byways defeat user evidence	Decisions in brief	9 July 06
	Capacity to dedicate defeats 20 year user	Dedication derailed	07/5/59
	Level crossing orders	Slow train coming?	06/3/27
Railway plans	Bridleway proved by	A Cheshire bridleway	04/3/35
		Railway Legislation	16 Aug 05
		Common law bridleways	06/1/8
	Bridleway not proved by	No joy at Nuthurst	09/6/75
	Evidence of vehicular rights	BOAT application provides RB	06/12/26
	Roads depicted on	Railway routes researched	84/6/24
		Railway Acts of Parliament	84/3/12
Reasonably alleged to subsist	Test A and test B	Putting UCRs on the def. Map	03/9/63
		Testing, testing	04/10/79
Recreation 2000	BBT's initial response	Recreation 2000	86/8/31
	Correspondence	Fact or fantasy?	91/1/11
		Fact, fantasy & furore	91/3/11
	Delays in processing DMMOs	Schedule 14: another long wait	96/6/34
	Editorial critique	The first millenium	96/4/23
		The path to righteousness	91/9/33
		The best is the enemy of the good	91/4/13&14
		Shifting sands	88/2/5
Relevant date	When right brought into question	Brought into question	97/2/10
		Close the coalhouse door!	97/1/3
		Challenging situations	01/7/35
		Question time	03/4/31
		Sewage blocks claim	02/9/53
Repair Research	See 'Maintenance'	Computers for amateur RoW research #1	10/3/36
		Computers for amateur RoW research #2	10/4/59
		Computers for amateur RoW research #3	10/5/81
		Computers for amateur RoW research #4	10/6/99
Restricted byways	Cycle user evidence	A dedicated restricted byway	08/1/9
		A dedicated RB: second thoughts	09/1/5
		Appropriate circumstances	07/2/23
		Cycle use & RB rights	10/4/70
		Decisions in brief	08/6/75
	Horse-drawn vehicles threatened with TRO	H-DV rights under threat	08/2/14
	Horses cannot use - too narrow	Sorry, no horses!	11/9/143
	Motor use proves RB	A dedicated restricted byway	10/7/129
	Narrow gates	Photo & caption	08/2/19
	Passing bays provided	Decisions in brief	11/4/93
	Post-NERC public inquiries	A delayed anomaly	07/6/73
		A kaleidoscopic route	3 Sep 06
		A new era	3 Sep 06
		An inevitable consequence	07/6/70
		An unrestricted addition	06/5/56
		An unsuitable reclassification	06/6/64
		Exception and restriction	06/5/54
		Exception not accepted	10 Nov. 2007
		Listed streets	08/2/20
		Not just bridleways	07/1/6
		Perceived shortcomings	10 Nov. 2007
		Reclassifying RUPPs as RBs	07/1/7
		Taking advice	2 Mar 08
		Transitional arrangements	07/2/24
		Unadopted road becomes restricted byway	11/5/99
Ridgeway	Post-inquiry attitudes to management	Facing the facts	94/2/8
		Editorial critique	94/1/1
	Pre-public inquiry discussion	Drawing the line	92/6/24
		A philosophy of multi-use	89/9/33
	Public inquiry post-mortem	The Ridgeway PI: the issues	93/1/4
		PIs move into the big league	92/10/39
Right to roam	Cost of implementation in England	Access audit	06/5/49

Rights of Rights of way improvement plans	Open access in Scotland A letter from a Parish	Roaming in the gloaming Fordham, Cambridgeshire	03/6/37 22 Dec 05
	Influencing outcome of orders 'Merely aspirational' RoWIPs do not deliver	Bidding for improvement The bigger picture Getting no money for value RoWIPs: a supply side study RoWIPs reviewed - and guidance needed	05/4/25 08/5/60 07/3/25 06/3/25 09/1/2
Rivers Road safety Road schemes	Roads and river co-exist Reporting 'accidents' Highways Agency policy paper 1996 Busways impact equestrian routes	Highway in a watercourse After the events (STATS 19) Weasel words... Bridleway or busway?	18 Nov 01 05/8/70 97/1/1 02/2/8
Road surveys Roads - History of Roman roads	Highway authority survey of roads: 19th C. See - History of Roads Condition of Ryknild Street, 2008 History of	History A middle England mile Highway code, Roman style From rabbits to Romans Roman road reversal	03/8/56 08/5/53 90/7/26 84/8/31 03/9/61
	Rights over?	No vehicular rights on Roman road Not in the army now	06/4/40 06/5/53
RUPPs	Downgrading by DMMO	Salopian hiccups Standards of proof Troublesome RUPPs Back to square one The symbols CRF and CRB Cart road confusion Evidence of maintenance Wilts BOATs More Mellor	94/8/31 96/10/63 95/5/24 97/9/58 93/8/32 07/3/33 99/6/42 06/3/29 95/8/43
	CRFs and CRBs CRFs are only footpaths Evidence of maintenance taken for status Judicial review of former RUPP case Motorcyclist users are the public Motor user post-1930 prima facie unlawful, but can 'span' 1930 and be valid	<i>R v. Planning Inspectorate Cardiff ex p Howell</i> , 15th June 2000 <i>Stevens v SoS for Environment</i>	00/9/63 98/5/34 98/6/37 98/7/46 99/8/64 07/4/41 11/3/75 11/5/99 08/2/22
	NERC/CRoWA considered in detail	Somewhat complex Decisions in brief Delayed reclassification A piebald route	07/4/41 11/3/75 11/5/99 08/2/22
	Non-through routes		
	Post-Riley upgrade of former RUPP	Piebald RUPPs Inquiry report Hare and hounds	87/3/12 94/3/12 97/9/60
	[Preshute 12]	<i>R aa Kind v. SoS for EFRA</i> [2005] DEFRA's view pre-High Court	05/6/55 25 Apr 04
	Railway maps and bridges as evidence	Another finely run judgment Off the rails?	06/1/8 95/9/52
	Reclaiming "lost" RUPPs	The Riley case Reclassification revisited Revisionism in action	89/3/12 09/3/35 04/10/82
	Reclassification as BOAT on map evidence Reclassifying as footpath - tests	Historical pointers Mistake about a mistake Presumption and proof Welsh ways Welsh ways reassessed	99/10/78 98/4/25 98/3/18 04/7/57 06/2/19
	Reclassification -piebald results	Bad news, welcome views Legal nonsense	95/10/60 00/9/63
	Reclassification post-NERCA	Restrictive practices The curse of NERCA An unusual problem - and some loose ends.	08/1/9 09/4/55 12/2/37
	Reclassification statistics Reclassification statutory presumption Reclassification tests	RUPP reclassification Not shown not to exist A duty to reclassify? An unacceptable error Bearing the burden Crossing out riders Eliminating the double negative In the balance RUPPs and BOATs When is a RUPP not a RUPP...?	00/1/4 00/10/70 93/5/18 90/8/30 90/8/29 97/8/52 96/5/29 96/1/5 83/8/32 88/5/20
	Reclassification/simultaneous/TRO	Sauce for the goose and gander	90/3/9

	<i>Robinson v. Adair</i> tests	Just in time	98/1/4
	User evidence for BOAT claims		98/2/13
	Weight of evidence necessary	Presumption and proof II	98/9/64
Safety issues		Two unusual routes	7 Aug 01
Sands roads	Crossing Morecambe Bay	The Morecambe Bay Crossing	95/3/12
		A BOAT -for boating	93/2/7
Schedule 14 appeal	Criteria for appeal determination	Criteria? What criteria?	11/12/173
	Secretary of State reverses recommendation.		
		Roman road reversal	03/9/61
		Schedule 14 bridleways	05/7/61
Scotland access laws	Exclusion of horses from path.	<i>Tuleys v. The Highland Council</i> [2009].	09/3/33
	'Greenways'-type proposals	People prefer paths	10/7/112
Seymour, Colin	'Memoirs'	My Way to My Ways #1	10/2/16
		My Way to My Ways #2	10/3/39
		My Way to My Ways #3	10/4/62
		My Way to My Ways #4	10/6/104
		My Way to My Ways #5	10/7/122
		My Way to My Ways #6	10/8/140
		My Way to My Ways #7	10/10/165
		My Way to My Ways #8	11/1/15
		My Way to My Ways #9	11/2/36
		My Way to My Ways #10	11/3/64
Side road orders	Side road orders cannot be omitted	Your sins will find you out	00/8/51
	No fixed routes	Across the bay	96/8/47
Signs on green roads	Non-statutory 'No vehicles' signs	Beyond necessity?	98/9/61
Signs on rights of way	Statutory requirements	A clear sign?	07/3/27
		Conduct of site visits relating to rights of way orders	99/6/46
Site visits	Planning Inspectorate guidance note	Crossing a main road	06/12/26
	An on-going saga		
Special Acts of Parliament	Dock Act and highways	On the waterfront	11/5/96
Special extinguishment orders	Tests for	Crime and extinguishment	06/6/65
SSSIs & highways	Effect of SSSI designation on repair etc	SSSIs and minor highways	95/3/14
		Horses v. nature	89/1/3
Statutory duties	Dodging duties?	Statutory duties/political agendas	94/4/16
Statutory undertaker	Savings access rights of	Watching brief	10/10/78
Suitability	Causes bridleway to be ploughed		
	NFU ideas to reintroduce a test	Another grey bearded man	97/3/14
		An unsuitable idea	95/8/39
Stopping up	A case history	Common sense or a crim. offence?	89/1/4
	A council's response to a SU application	Plaudits corner	98-8-53
	Consultation on s.116 procedures	Slowly getting there	96/6/34
	Cul de sac bridleway in country park	An expedient downgrading	20/8/151
	Defence legislation orders	Defence legislation stopping up orders	99/4/28
	Justices refuse applications	The Drift Road	09/4/45
	Limits on "unnecessary"	BBT response to change proposal	85/6/24
	Non-definitive paths	Stopping up non-definitive paths	02/1/3
	On grounds of anti-social use	Unnecessary? - editorial	85/5/17
	On grounds of landowners interests	BOAT 22, Whittington, Northumberland.	12/1/16
	Presumption of order being made	<i>RA v. Kent CoCo</i>	90/4/13
	Processes	The legal right to close h'ways - 1	
		The legal right to close h'ways -2	85/4/16
		Application refused	85/5/20
		Obstruction does not mean unnecessary	26 Apr 01
	Right to be heard by justices	Use and misuse	96/3/16
	Right to be heard by justices	Balancing act	96/9/55
	Security of judge as reason	Here today, gone forever	04/10/79
	Stopping up	Footpath	21 Sept 01
	TCPA powers tried	Up the garden path	96/10/63
	The unnecessary test	Who can object?	96/9/53
	Value of use by public	Standing on the Mayor	96/1/4
		The wrong way?	94/9/28
		<i>BMF v. Gloucestershire CoCo</i>	93/7/28
		Minority rights	96/9/56
		<i>Compton v. Somerset CoCo</i>	82/4/16

Surface condition	Achievements of a litigant-in-person	Molly Wedgewood	95/8/45	
	As evidence of status	Rede again	96/5/31	
	Bridleway diversion on to hard surface	An unusual bridleway		96/8/48
		Heavy going		08/2/22
		Loadsamurnee!		09/3/27
	Dissertation on Wiltshire Paths	Dissertation assertion		96/5/27
	No track is evidence of no right of way	Definitive, but...		96/5/31
	Standards for surfaces	Rutting season		08/2/15
		Buffed, waxed and tanned		11/2/30
	Surveying roads	Making roads	Setting out highways	91/10/39
		Acts of parliament	Public, local & private acts of parliament	10/2/11
	Terminology	Back lane	Back lane	97/5/32
		Balk	The Balk	00/10/68
			More on balks	01/1/5
		Bridle stile road	A historical limitation?	99/3/20
Cart road		A cart road	98/3/19	
Click-em-in		Clickem Inn or Click 'Em In?	95/6/30	
Coffin ways		Coffin ways et al		93/4/14
		Coffin ways and steeple chases		93/3/11
Common fairing way		Old expressions		98/1/6
Common highway		Publicke and common highway		98/3/21
Corpse Road				16 Aug 05
Definitions		RoW terminology		87/5/19
		RoW terminology		87/4/16
Drift road - meaning of		Drifter's escape		06/2/15
		More on driftways		06/3/28
Expedient - meaning of	Exposition on expediency		02/5/19	
Field road	Field of interest		96/1/3	
Foot Road	Defining a foot road		94/3/10	
Halter Path	History		96/7/41	
	Halterways defined		94/4/14	
	Halterpaths		93/4/14	
Heol/hollow	What's in a name?		97/6/35	
Highway/private highway	Private highways		97/4/20	
Horse causeys	Horse causeys & halterpaths		05/7/66	
	Horse causeys in context		00/4/28	
	Horse causey unearthed		00/8/51	
	Second thoughts on Ashover		10/5/89	
Lane - judge's view	Documentary evidence in 1929		99/4/23	
Lon (Welsh)	Lon Bwlch		01/9/42	
Packway	An ancient packway		07/2/20	
Pacce and primeway	Pack & prime - Drift & Drove		99/5/36	
	More on 'pack & prime ways'		99/9/70	
Parish road	More pointers		00/9/61	
	A parish road		03/3/22	
Passengers	Photo caption		00/10/66	
Plough/Plow roads	The plough road		95/6/31	
Ratione tenurae & clausurae roads	Ratione tenurae and clausurae		88/6/23	
	Ratione tenurae		06/3/28	
Road (in history)	The evolution of road		97/7/43	
Road (in public inquiry)	A road by any other name...		99/10/77	
	Rule of Thumb		00/5/35	
	Statistically likely, but ...		3 Sep 06	
Through routes	Water lanes	Shifting the blame	95/9/50	
	No through route	A missing link	11/4/90	
		A piebald route		08/2/22
		An unresolved middle		08/2/23
	Presumption of public way?	A cart road footpath		04/9/74
		A missing link		07/6/70
		Consistency of chalk		03/3/18
		Mixed fortunes in Suffolk		08/1/6
		The thoroughfare principle		00/1/18
		The thoroughfare principle & s.8 Inclosure Act 1801		00/3/19
		Wood Lane inferences		03/4/30
	Tithe awards	Importance of tithe awards	A choice of remedies	96/9/53
			Finance Act and Tithe Map evidence	01/1/6
			Logical and compelling	00/2/12
			Physical and map evidence	96/5/30
		Tithe award conclusive	94/8/31	
	Tithes and spot heights		96/5/3	

	Gaps in roads on awards	Roads on title awards	96/10/60
	Second class awards and roads	Tithe maps - handle with care.	99/4/28
Toll access	Paying for bridleways	Toll rides: an opportunity...	90/6/23
Town & Country Planning Act 1990	'Adopted' footpath to be diverted. Goes on DMS.	Decisions in brief	11/12/184
	Bernstein considered	'Incorporated', not lost	02/10/63
	Completeness of development a crucial factor	An argument deferred	99/5/34
		Blyth spirit	03/7/50
		Decisions in brief	10 Nov. 2007
			08/5/63
		Minimal adjustment	99/5/30
		Overdeveloped	00/9/61
		Part-completed development ...	03/10/76
		Duff diversion	11/3/54
	Diversions and alternative highways	Diversion or extinguishment?	02/10/61
	Diverting on to estate road	Decisions in brief	11/12/182
		Interpretation of s.257 T&CPA	1 Apr 01
	Drawing a Line	Decisions in brief	10/3/53
	Economic needs drive diversion	Inappropriate and excessive	09/4/51
	Excessive diversion sought in s.257	Unacceptable extinctions	04/6/51
	Extinguish path under estate road	No limit(action)s	11/10/154
	Limitations inserted into orders	A new angle?	09/3/37
	Neighbours' interests	Before and after	11 Nov. 2007
	Order not to be made in advance of PP	Decisions in brief	09/3/39
		T&CPA 1990	90/9/35
	Outline of new sections	Decisions in brief	11/13/197
	Planning permission must pre-date the order	Decisions in brief	05/2/8
	Position of development not specified	Canadee-I-o	04/10/80
	Precedence of users?	Still not good enough	99/1/4
	Second go to stop up	Decisions in brief	10/4/75
	Sequence of tests applied	Decisions in brief	10/4/75
	Scale of plans		
	Speed of TCPA order in comparison to adjacent obstruction	Christmas turkey	01/2/10
		<i>R (Batchelor Enterprises) v. SoS for ETR</i> , 23rd July 2001	02/1/4
	Stopping up - SoS retains discretion	A needless decision?	98/9/62
	Diverting bridleway on to road	An unfortunate development	99/2/9
	Need to show necessity	Canny outcomes	11 Nov. 2007
	Restricted byway as replacement route	Holiday diversions	2 Feb 2009
	Replacement route	Pedal to the metal?	11 Nov. 2007
	Traffic an issue in PP for cycle route	Urbanisation of rural area	1 May 01
	Urbanisation	The drawing board beckons	02/8/47
	'Unnecessary' is the wrong test	On the verge	06/5/55
	Verge - taking of	Halt! Who goes there?	08/2/17
Traffic regulation	Access control by bollards	The Kent Carriage Gap	98/8/56
		Just being helpful	99/4/22
	As a limitation on the def. Statement?	Traffic regulation orders	88/4/16
	Basic rules and processes	No decorum in Dacorum	87/7/25
	Blanket orders - editorial	Failed experiment?	96/6/34
	Court rules on experimental orders	Orders in court	09/4/43
	Court rules on defective process	Instant karma's gonna get you...	99/3/14
	Cycle route used as reason to TRO	No scorchers!	11/9/132
	Cycles prohibited from housing estate	A public inquiry with a difference #2	03/10/73
	Experimental order in practice	Another Lakeland ETR0	05/7/59
		Predicting the results?	02/5/18
	Experimental orders proposed	A public inquiry with a difference	03/2/14
	Experimental order - public inquiry	We don't want their sort here ...	02/9/51
	Experimental order - 'public survey'	Body swerve	96/10/61
	Experimental order well drafted	Wiltshire intends to ban horses ...	02/7/34
	'Flora and fauna' grounds	Tipping on the Moor	04/8/66
	Flytipping as reason	Essex exclusions	04/8/63
	Horses prohibited on BOATs	Local democracy in action	99/2/6
	Imposition against officer recommendation	Last resort	96/5/27
	Management policies in W Sussex	Sheafgreen Lane...	93/6/21
	Misuse of temporary orders in Herts	Sewstern savaged	10/2/10
	Obstruction of lawful traffic?	No limit!	98/5/30
	On definitive map as a limitation?	Economic assessment #1	98/6/37
	Order to facilitate motor rally	TRO facilitates stage rally	95/3/15
		Danger on the Downs	11/6/101
	Public inquiry into TRO on a BOAT	Once a highway - then a TRO?	02/3/12

		Once in a blue moon	09/1/5
		Stand-off at Balsall	02/4/16
		Unusual occurrence	96/3/18
		Wrong way on Dere Street	00/9/61
		Two for the price of one	95/5/25
		Turfed off	02/5/19
		The path to Hell?	96/10/59
		Self-serving ordinance?	09/6/66
		Order extensions out of order?	03/2/10
		Hear? Extensions	03/3/17
		Racehorses may be TROed	83/4/14
		The long and winding road	10/11/184
		No tale	96/9/53
		An open letter to the Minister	94/5/20
		Woodman spare that tree?	01/1/3
		RUPP 13, Great Mundon	00/5/33
		Abandon all hope?	07/6/76
		Turnpike trouble	96/9/55
		A turnpiked bridleway	00/10/70
		Unfinished business	01/6/32
		Summerpikes?	99/10/81
		<i>R v. Steventon</i>	05/7/67
		Turnpike roads/how to find them	94/3/11
		A long road unwinding	00/1/3
		Unclassified roads	97/5/32
		Tinkers' Lane is listed...	00/1/4
		Where the tarmac ends	99/7/56
		The wonderful world of UCRs	98/4/25
		Weston Patrick finale	98/7/42
		Quick, pass the valium	96/5/29
		Unclassified county roads	83/7/26
		Unclassified roads and the def. map	98/9/62
		On the map at Maperton	97/10/63
		Mis-mapped at Maperton?	2011/14/209
		Sorry, Mr Moses...	00/4/23
		<i>Masters v. SoS for Env. July 99</i>	99/8/64
		<i>Masters v. SoS for Env. July 2000</i>	00/8/56
		Highway maintenance maps	97/2/10
		Take Over maps upheld	94/10/39
		Rule of Thumb...	00/5/35
		<i>Fortune & Oths v. Wiltshire Council (2010)</i>	11/3/57
		Minor highways in Wales	94/10/39
		UCR mileages in Radnorshire	93/4/16
		Limited jurisdiction	96/1/4
		Bad practice at Tynehead	93/4/24
		Electric lighting orders	92/9/34
		Exceptional UCRs	95/8/43
		Independent streak	06/2/14
		Putting UCRs on the def. map	03/9/63
		Not long left?	07/1/2
		Ribbon developments	02/7/39
		A monastic mess	98/10/71
		County roads and definitive maps	82/9/39
		Mass conversion	97/1/2
		More about UCRs	99/2/8
		More UCR doubts	98/9/63
		Setting an example	97/1/3
		Bread and circuses	97/4/19
		Sufficiency and stealth	11/14/202
		Called into question	96/6/36
		Invalid challenges	95/2/7
		Spelling it out	94/8/31
		Periodic gate locking	94/8/31
		Signed off	99/2/8
		Signing off	94/6/23
		<i>R. v. SoS, ex p. Cowell</i>	93/7/28
		A dedicated bridleway network	09/2/20
		Ancient and modern	81/4/16
	Questionable use of TRO powers		
	Reinstatement of stone road with grass		
	Security criteria -critique of new proposals		
	Site of special scientific interest right across the road.		
	Temporary order - extension safeguards		
	To stop horses		
	Validity of decision-making process		
	Weight limits in the Isle of Man		
	Weight limits suggested		
Tree preservation	Do TPOs over-ride highway issues?		
Trespass	Action against 'trespassers' on RUPP		
Turnpike roads	Abandonment - meaning of		
	Powers of commissioners to extinguish		
	Reclassified as bridleway		
	Seasonal turnpike routes		
	Turnpikes are highways		
	What and where?		
Unclassified roads	Adding to the list of streets		
	Agriculture (Improvement of Roads) Act 1955		
	Contrasting view of Inspectors		
	Definition of		
	DETR guidance as to status, etc.		
	Dual status with RUPP -reclassification		
	Evidence required to prove status		
	Evidential value of take-over maps		
	Inspector's view of meaning		
	Map as a 'list' - valid for s.36(6)?		
	Mileage of roads in Wales		
	No balance of user for BOAT status		
	Obstructive highway authority		
	Powers to erect street lighting		
	Putting on def. map as bridleways		
	Putting on def. map as BOATS		
	Putting on def. map to beat NERC		
	Restriction of Ribbon Development Act		
	Showing on the definitive map		
Unlawful user of the highway	What is legal to do on a road?		
User evidence	by stealth, <i>nec clam</i>		
	Private signs - negating user evid.		
	20 years		

	Bridleway	17 Oct 03
	Long memories in Woking	01/4/24
	Long memories on the Ridgeway	02/9/54
	Proving 20 years user	80/3/12
	Case law	1 May 01
	Public rights of way: some issues	10/8/136
Across commons	Commoners' use of commons	95/6/30
Advice to landowners	No road, I declare	95/4/20
Affected if path is diverted?	User not negated by diversion	96/1/5
Article on basic principles of 20 year	DMMOs based on 20 years user	92/7/28
As of right	Beresford and Mayhew	04/3/35
Bad presentation damages value	Duties not discharged	96/5/29
BOAT through user after NERCA	A s.31 BOAT	08/1/6
Bridleway proved by	Hampshire bridleways	07/2/23
	Dedication at common law	10/3/45
'Bringing into question'	A military access road	10/10/175
	A successful appeal	11/3/74
	Questionable guidance?	03/8/58
	Bringing into question	26 July 04
	Bringing into Question	30 June 04
	Decisions in brief	10/5/93
	Level playing field	11/10/154
Capacity to dedicate	Neither capacity nor intention	07/5/57
	'Settled estate' v. common law dedication	05/7/62
Children as users	Wisness Drove - a Masters class	11/7/117
Cross examination - nobody available	Decisions in brief	11/12/183
Cyclists' user - value of	An untested opinion	03/4/28
	Rosedale update	00/8/51
	Shopping list	98/7/44
Documentary evidence, nil	A BOAT on user alone	06/12/26
Downgraded bridleway reinstated	Up Pompopali	06/3/32
Fence across	The purpose of a fence	98/2/13
Forms need to be accurate	The wrong track?	05/6/48
	Completion of user witness forms	19 Dec 04
	Decisions in brief	12/1/28
	'Legal Niceties'	1 May 01
	Here's one I made earlier	12/1/20
Gates and fences not enough to negate	A defensive sally	98/8/54
Handover map	Handover map	8 Mar 03
Horse riding on footpaths	Bridleways rights over footpaths	80/8/32
Horse riding from nearby stables	Class distinctions	98/3/18
How many users necessary?	Sufficiency of users	10/7/118
	Humble pie	10/8/134
Intention to dedicate - charity	Charitable intentions	01/7/36
Intention not to dedicate	A broken-down fence	03/3/22
	A half-baked chestnut	05/6/49
	An ineffective deposit	10/2/27
	A short intermission.	12/1/8
Intermission (gap) in user.	Aspects of dedication	07/4/43
[<i>Drain/Godmanchester</i> applied]	<i>Berry v. SoS</i> [2006]	06/6/69
	<i>Darby v. First SoS & WCC</i> [2003]	04/10/85
[<i>Godmanchester</i> applied]	Decisions in brief	9 July 06
[<i>Godmanchester</i> applied]	Decisions in brief	14 Mar 08
	Decisions in brief	06/5/60
[<i>Godmanchester</i> applied]	<i>Godmanchester</i> in action	08/2/21
	<i>Godmanchester</i> and Spider Alley	08/4/46
	Lack of intention to dedicate	21 Sept 01
	Lack of intention to dedicate	26 July 04
	Memories of Emery	02/10/61
	No joy for bridle rights	06/1/6
[<i>Godmanchester</i> applied]	No publicity required	06/2/16
	Sorry, no vehicles	06/3/31
	<i>R v. SoS ex p. Godmanchester Town Council</i> (2005)	06/1/4
	Case report	25 July 04
[<i>Godmanchester</i> applied]	Raising the bar	08/2/21
	Silence no protection	02/10/60
	Taking notice	10/5/91
	The wonder continues	03/1/6
Interruption of user period	Effective interruption	02/5/20
	Interruption of use	95/3/16

Insufficient user	Invalid interruption	01/8/38
Landowner's knowledge of user necessary?	Bridleway not confirmed (P5)	06/12/26
Landowners' measures to negate user	Tested. And found wanting.	12/1/3
	A tacit challenge?	95/5/24
	I do declare	98/3/17
	Ineffective challenges	95/10/61
	It pays to display	97/3/16
Later acquisition of public rights	Failure of feedback?	08/4/41
Leases do not defeat user	Decisions in brief	09/6/75
Lease does defeat user	Decisions in brief	12/1/27
Less than 20 years can be valid	A cumulative case	95/2/8
Line claimed used is under water	Land under water	01/3/17
Locals are not sufficiently the public	Problems of dedication	10/1/6
Local users order redetermined	Round two. Knockout	11/13/196
Maintenance issues	Maintenance	14 Mar 02
Meaning of "as of right"	All in the mind - part 1	94/10/40
	part 2	95/3/15
	part 3	95/6/32
	part 4	96/1/9
	'As of right'	98/10/69
	Riddall vindicated?	99/9/68
Motorcyclist users are the public	More Mellor	95/8/43
Motor users are not the public	Conditions for com. law dedication	97/1/3
	Motor user	30 Jun 04
	Results in BOAT	1 May 01
Must be defined track	The importance of being consistent	95/3/16
	A way over land	98/1/5
	<i>Beresford</i> and <i>Mayhew</i>	04/3/35
New evidence - need for	St Agnes: second thoughts	07/3/36
New evidence resuscitates old claim	Catch all	99/2/8
Newspaper notice negates user evidence	Barrow 1: silent witness	98/7/41
Old evidence from first public inquiryFrom when to when?	86/1/4
Period of 20 years, from - to?	Decisions in brief	11/8/125
Permission defeats horse user	On Ilkley Moor - bad form	96/3/16
Poor forms damage value	Bearing witness	96/3/16
Quality as important as quantity	The numbers game	96/3/20
Quantity & character to show BOAT	Sufficiency of users	10/7/118
Quantity spelled out.	Humble pie	10/8/134
Rail crossing bylaw defeats user	Prohibited user	00/10/71
User evidence	Decisions in brief	08/3/36
Regaining a path by post-DMS survey user	<i>Burrows v. SoS for EFRA</i> [2004]	04/6/52
Signs on paths	Decisions in brief	06/5/56
	Decisions in brief	09/1/8
	Decisions in brief	09/2/23
	Decisions in brief	10/7/132
	Signs & portents	08/3/35
Statutory declaration by s.31(6)	A timely deposit	08/2/20
Statutory dedication	Statutory dedication?	8 Mar 03
Termini of routes	Place of public resort	30 June 04
Tolerance of user by owners	Mind reading	97/8/52
Treatment at PI	Friendly persuasion	96/1/5
Trespass. Is user evidence 'trespass'?	Can a user of a public footpath be a trespasser?	12/1/11
User fails to create	Recreational horse riding does not create a route	06/12/26
Vehicle user lawful on 'road'	A presumed BOAT	03/6/40
	A s.31 BOAT	03/8/59
	BOAT on the evidence	16 Aug 05
	Goodbye <i>Robinson</i> , farewell ...	04/9/75
	This way to the ford.	03/8/60
	Vehicles	22 Apr 04
	<i>Bakewell Management Limited v. Brandwood</i>	22 Apr 04
Vehicle user unlawful	Bye-bye byway...	97/7/43
	Never heard of it	99/8/60
Robinson v. Adair	A case misunderstood	97/7/48
Wheel ruts as evidence	Records of weight	05/6/52
Where lower status shown in def. map	<i>Suffolk v. Mason</i>	79/3/12
	<i>Suffolk v. Mason</i>	79/1/4
Who are the public?	Blunted points of law	05/5/36
	Misunderstood?	98/3/19
	More on 'the public'	99/6/39
	<i>Poole v. Huskinson</i>	97/8/53

		Public distinctions	98/1/4
		S.31 in action	99/6/42
		The public at large	97/7/45
Vehicles	BBT policy statement on MPV on RoW	MPVs on public rights of way	05/3/20
	Bridleways - prosecution for using	From Hell, Hull and Halifax	00/9/59
		Questionable utility	00/2/9
		View from the blue	00/4/26
	Bridleways - rights over	Vehicular rights on bridleways	97/2/11
	Carts in Cumberland	A report by Geoff Wilson on early vehicles.	3 May 04
	Causing death by careless driving in ford	Tragedy in Powys	10/7/112
	Conference report	Vehicles in the countryside	89/9/36
	defra response to MPV on RoW		
	consultation -2005	Co-operate - and legislate	05/3/17
	Defra report on BOAT conditions by		
	FaberMaunsell - 2005	More light on byways	05/3/19
	Footpaths & bridleways - police attitudes	Attitudes to illegal motoring	04/1/1
		Illegal MPV use	08/3/25
		Vehicles in the countryside	91/7/27
	In the countryside - working group rep.	Part #1	02/7/40
	Motoring offences on minor highways	Part #2	02/8/48
		Part #3	02/9/56
		Part #4	02/10/64
		Part #5	03/6/44
		Editorial	97/7/41
	Motorised skateboards	User interaction	2 Mar 06
	National Parks	A new bible	92/3/13
	On BOATs - managing	Motors ... on unsealed byways #1	04/1/6
	On unsealed BOATs - damage alleged	Motors ... on unsealed byways #2	04/2/14
	On unsealed BOATs - damage refuted	Opinion	10/4/67
	On unsealed routes	Cowboy justice?	04/5/46
	Prosecution of offenders	Smiling grimly	11/7/110
		They're innocent!	11/7/110
	Use of, on public paths	An expensive lift	99/8/60
		Illegal motoring, the fallacy	87/9/36
	Use of, on RUPPs	Area of uncertainty	97/5/30
		Pre-emptive strike?	99/2/6
		Note this guidance	97/6/34
		Vehicular use of RUPP	97/6/39
Verges	Not dedicated as highway	<i>Skrenty v. Harrogate Borough Council</i> [1999]	00/6/44
	Regulating use of, etc	Notes on highway verges	82/6/24
	Road calming obstructing horses	A still small voice	99/8/66
	Roadside margins - maintaining	Roadmen and horsemen	04/9/72
War powers	Airfield closures	A conclusive case	05/8/76
		More on wartime airfields	91/2/6
		Wartime airfields	91/1/2
White roads	As shown on OS maps	Running up the flag?	96/6/27
		Taken off the maps	89/7/2
		White roads on OS maps	82/8/32
Width	Advice to Inspectors	Feel the width?	02/3/10
	Advice Note 16	Inspector ignores (page 4)	06/12/26
	Adequate width for bridleway	A tight squeeze	09/3/35
		Decisions in brief	09/2/24
		Rigour = mortis	12/1/21
	Adequate width for footpath	Decisions in brief	07/6/73
		Decisions in brief	08/6/75
		Decision in brief	10/6/111
	'Approximate' left in order	Appropriately approximate	08/5/61
	'Approximate' struck out of order	Decisions in brief	07/2/24
		Decisions in brief	09/2/22
		Inappropriately approximate	08/5/60
	'Beaten track' not wide enough	Decisions in brief	12/1/27
	Between boundaries - in a DMMO	All the way to the boundary	98/8/54
		Establishing highway boundaries from old maps	12/1/15
		Full width not given	06/12/26
		<i>Jenkinson v. SoS</i> (1998)	06/1/4
		The widths of Hangleton Lane	11/7/115
	BOAT obstructed by house	Measure twice ...	09/6/66
	Cart roads between market towns	Width of highways	99/10/81
	Defining width during reclassification	Circular arguments	96/6/36
	Deriving from user evidence forms	A presumed width	11/8/124
	Problems of 'minimum width'		97/2/10

	Width confusion		92/5/22
	Diverted path - not same width	Agricultural necessity	99/3/15
	Established during public inquiry	Deposited evidence of width	05/4/28
		Wider yet and wider	98/10/69
	Fence to fence presumption displaced	<i>Hale v. Norfolk CoCo</i> [2000] EGCS 137	01/1/8
		<i>Hale v. Norfolk CoCo</i> [2000] EGCS 137	01/2/12
	Fence put up on narrow path	Yon narrow way ...	08/1/3
	Finance Act map establishes width	Wall to wall	02/5/22
	Footpath along narrow ginnel	Open and shut?	04/10/79
	Good practice from inspectors	Questions of width	08/3/32
	Gorbeck Road	Width suggests route is carriageway	06/12/26
	Headland paths	An adequate width	97/7/44
		The width of headland paths	97/2/12
	'Horsefair'	Fairly wide?	09/1/3
	In awards	Awarded widths	10 Nov. 2007
	In orders	Decisions in brief	10/3/52
		The cost of delay?	06/4/48
	In the past	Contemporary observations...	99/7/52
	Inclosure width not accepted by inspector	Awarded width not viable	03/8/33
	Inclosure width specification not fatal to road coming into existence	A question of width	11/4/89
	Limitation in definitive statement - illegal?	Bring back Back Lane!	99/5/30
		Back to Back Lane	99/10/78
	Minimum width	Watching brief	09/2/23
		Minimal uncertainty	97/8/52
		Minimum width - no problem	97/9/58
		Order modified to delete 'minimum'	2 Mar 08
		Pick and choose	08/4/37
	Minimum usable width	Decisions in brief	2 Mar 08
	Narrowest recorded road?	Narrower still and narrower	08/2/16
	Objection to width is evidential	A finely run judgment	05/8/73
	Pragmatic view available	<i>Wesleyvale Ltd v. Harding</i> (2003)	03/10/72
	Presumption of width dedicated over awarded private road (Wood Lane)	Between the ditches	11/11/169
		Widths of public rights of way in orders and the definitive statement	11/13/190
	Presumption of width - general issues.	Decisions in brief	12/1/27
	Presumption of reasonable width	A restricted (and obstructed) byway	10/7/129
	Restricted byway being diverted	Decisions in brief	07/5/59
	Silly OMA statement rebuffed	'Good practice' - who needs it?	07/1/14
	Specifying widths in order	Passing by	10/3/46
	Sufficient width to pass	Back on the Icknield Way	10/11/186
	Tithe plans and OS Boundary Remarks	Wide ways	95/1/2
	Variable widths	Highway robbery	81/2/7
		Feeling the pinch	97/1/2
		Tighter still	97/5/24
	Way not wide enough in RB diversion	Not fit for purpose	08/5/62
	Way not wide enough for motors?	A narrow BOAT	95/9/52
	Widest recorded road?	Wider still, and wider	97/8/50
	Width added to order	Decisions in brief	2 Mar 08
	Width reduced by inspector	Decisions in brief	09/3/38
Windfarms	Nuisance to equestrians and others	Don't scare the horses	96/8/50
Written			
representations	Exclusion of late submissions	Admissibility & compliance	10/2/28
		Even nuttier?	11/11/166
	SoS will not allow in WCA orders	Be there, or be... square?	99/1/2
	SoS will allow in WCA orders	Oh all right - stay at home	99/2/6